GEER¹ Monitoring - Program Fiscal and Program Requirement Domains - Subrecipient Self-Assessment

A. Budgeting and Subawards

CARES Act Section 18002(c)

CRRSA Act Section 312(c)

GEER Certification and Agreement

Davis-Bacon and Related Acts

EDGAR

34 C.F.R. 76.300

34 C.F.R. 76.50

34 C.F.R. 76.51

34 C.F.R. 76.530 (General Cost Principles)

34 CFR 76.600

34 CFR 75.600-75.618

34 C.F.R. 76.789

34 C.F.R. 76.792

Uniform Guidance

2 C.F.R. 200.331(a)

2 CFR 3474

2 C.F.R. 200.403-475

¹ GEER I refers to GEER funds authorized under the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act. GEER II refers to funds authorized under the Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations (CRRSA) Act. GEER I and II funds may be used for pre-award costs dating back to March 13, 2020, when the national emergency was declared. For GEER I, the grantee was required award the funds within one year of receiving them, which was April through June 2021, depending on the award date. GEER I funds were available for obligation by the grantee and subrecipients through September 30, 2022. For GEER II, the grantee was required to award the funds within one year of receiving them, which was January 2022. GEER II funds are available for obligation by the grantee and subrecipients through September 30, 2023.

<u>Description:</u> A grantee and its subrecipients can only use program funds for allowable costs, as defined in the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements (2 C.F.R. Part 200), which include, among other things, the requirement that costs be reasonable and necessary for the accomplishment of program objectives, which are to prevent, prepare for, and respond to coronavirus. Additionally, with funds not otherwise allocated, a State may reserve a reasonable amount necessary to administer the grant.

Recommended Participants: GEER Program Director(s), Program Attorney(s), Program Accountant(s)

Subtopics:

- Budget Preparation
- Support for Development of Subrecipient Program Budgets
- Prior Approval Process and Construction
- Review of subrecipient Program Budgets

Suggested documentation:

- Sample communications with the State regarding how program funds are to be used (including any cost allowability requirements)
- Documented procedures for formation of program budgets (or other descriptions of the process)
- Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
GEER I & II: Budget Preparation	During the process of developing program budgets, how were subrecipient-level and/or school-level (if applicable) needs established for GEER?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Budget Preparation	Describe the subrecipient's process for preparing budgets and planning for the use of GEER funds. Also, identify the individuals responsible for preparing the GEER Fund budget.	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Budget Preparation	If applicable, how did the subrecipient incorporate input from key stakeholders (e.g., school leaders) during the budget preparation process for GEER?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Budget Preparation	How did the subrecipient ensure that proposed uses of funds are for allowable activities and allowable expenditures during the budget preparation process?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Budget Preparation	How did the subrecipient ensure compliance with the requirement that, to the greatest extent	(Enter brief response here)	

	practicable, they continue to compensate their	
	employees and contractors?	
GEER I & II: Support	What types of guidance or technical assistance did	(Enter brief response here)
for Development of	the grantee provide on budgeting and using GEER	
Subrecipient	funds?	
Program Budgets		
GEER I & II: Support	What types of guidance or technical assistance did	(Enter brief response here)
for Development of	the grantee provide on prior approval requests from	
Subrecipient	subrecipients?	
Program Budgets		
GEER I & II: Prior	What guidance and/or technical assistance does the	(Enter brief response here)
Approval Process and	SEA provide to LEAs regarding the requirements	
Construction	under Davis-Bacon and Related Acts and other	
	requirements around construction, renovation, and	
	other applicable projects?	
GEER I & II: Prior	Did the LEA request prior approval for any	(Yes/No)
Approval Process and	construction, renovations, and applicable capital	
Construction	improvements projects? If so, what were the projects and the associated costs?	
CEED LO II D :		
GEER I & II: Prior	If applicable, what was the SEA's process for	(Enter brief response here)
Approval Process and	providing prior approval for construction,	
Construction	renovations, and applicable capital improvements?	
Additional	For all subtopics, provide any additional	(Enter list of documents here)
Documentation	documentation that would serve as evidence for the	
	questions asked.	

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of the self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation and other documentation and reports submitted by grantees and subrecipients. ***	

B. Award Process

CARES Act Section 18002(c) CRRSA Act Section 312 (c)

EDGAR

34 C.F.R. 76.50

34 C.F.R. 76.51

34 C.F.R. 76.300

34 C.F.R. 76.789

34 C.F.R. 76.792

Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. 200.331(a)

<u>Description</u>: The grantee shall ensure that, when subawarding funds to subrecipients, it makes subawards in accordance with applicable statutory requirements (including requirements related to the process for subawarding funds and the amounts to be subawarded to individual subrecipients).

Recommended Participants: GEER Subrecipient Program Director(s), Subrecipient Program Accountant(s)

Subtopics:

- Grant Award Notice
- Subaward Application Submission

Suggested documentation:

- Documented procedures for completing and submitting subaward information
- Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
GEER I & II:	Please describe how you were notified of the	(Enter brief response here)	
Subaward	opportunity to receive GEER I & II funds.		
Application			
Submission			
GEER I & II: Grant	Describe how the grantee notified you of the	(Enter brief response here)	
Award Notice	submission process for receiving a GEER I or II		
	subaward. Also, describe the submission process for		
	each.		

GEER I & II: Subaward Application Submission	Please describe how you received guidance or technical assistance regarding award requirements as a subrecipient.	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II: Subaward Application Submission	Please describe what information and any application you were required to submit to the grantee for receipt of GEER I & II funds.	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II: Subaward Application Submission	What type of feedback does the grantee provide once award information is submitted?	(Enter brief response here)
Additional Documentation	For all subtopics, provide any additional documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked.	(Enter list of documents here)

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of the self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation and other documentation and reports submitted by grantees and	
	subrecipients. ***	

C. Subrecipient Monitoring

Section 442 of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), 20 U.S.C. 1232e.

Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. 200.332(d), (e) 2 C.F.R. 200.403-475

Davis-Bacon and Related Acts

EDGAR 34 CFR 76.600 34 CFR 75.600-75.618 <u>Description</u>: A grantee shall monitor subrecipients and any other entities, including external providers, receiving Federal funds from programs to ensure that all applicable fiscal and programmatic performance goals are achieved and that subawards are used for authorized purposes and in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of Federal awards.

Recommended Participants: GEER Program Director(s), Program Attorney(s)

Subtopics:

- Pre-Monitoring Process
- Monitoring Activities
- Post-Monitoring Process

Suggested documentation:

- Sample communications from the grantee notifying the subrecipient of planned monitoring activities, including descriptions of monitoring processes
- Sample monitoring report from the grantee notifying the subrecipient of monitoring results
- Corrective Action follow-up activities for monitoring findings included in the report, including both communications with grantee and evidence of corrective action for each finding (if applicable)
- Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
GEER I & II:	Has the subrecipient participated in monitoring	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Activities	activities for GEER I and/or II ? (If NO , move to next		
	topic section.) If yes, please describe the process.		
GEER I & II: Pre-	How did the grantee notify the subrecipient when it	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Process	has been selected for monitoring?		
GEER I & II: Pre-	How did the grantee communicate expectations to	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Process	the subrecipient regarding the monitoring process		
	and the requirements covered during reviews?		
GEER I & II: Pre-	Describe the types of evidence provided to the	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Process	grantee by the subrecipient in advance of		
	monitoring.		
GEER I & II:	How did the grantee monitor subrecipient	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Activities	compliance with the requirements of Section 442 of		
	the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), 20		
	U.S.C. 1232e.?		

GEER I & II: Monitoring Activities	What types of program monitoring activities did the grantee engage in to ensure that subrecipients are meeting requirements that program funds are used only for authorized purposes and in compliance with all applicable Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of Federal awards?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Monitoring Activities	Describe the process the grantee used to monitor financial/fiscal requirements .	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Monitoring Activities	How did the grantee monitor to ensure compliance with prior approval and other requirements for construction, renovations, and applicable capital improvements from Uniform Guidance Cost Principles (2 C.F.R. 200.403-475), as well as the Department's applicable regulations regarding construction at 34 CFR 76.600 and 75.600-75.618 and any other applicable statutes or regulations?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Monitoring Activities	How did the grantee monitor to ensure that construction activities, including renovations, remodeling, or other applicable capital improvements are necessary for a subrecipient to prevent, prepare for, and respond to COVID-19?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Monitoring Activities	How does the grantee monitor to ensure compliance with the requirement that a subrecipient record the notice of federal interest in the official real property records for the jurisdiction in which the facility is located as soon as it receives the notice of award to construct?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Monitoring Activities	If applicable, How did the SEA follow up if there was a GEPA issue related to the steps the LEA takes to permit students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries to overcome barriers (including barriers based on gender, race, color, national origin, disability, and age) that impede access to, or participation in, the program?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Monitoring Activities	How did the grantee monitor to ensure compliance with requirements from Davis-Bacon and Related Acts?	(Enter brief response here)	

GEER I & II: Post- Monitoring Process	How were monitoring results communicated to the subrecipient? Did the grantee provide timely feedback to the subrecipient following a monitoring review?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II: Post- Monitoring Process	Did the grantee provide actionable feedback to the subrecipient following a monitoring review?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II: Post- Monitoring Process	How was feedback received as a result of monitoring used to improve implementation and/or ensure compliance?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II: Post- Monitoring Process	Describe the process by which the grantee followed up on corrective actions required to address issues identified through monitoring (i.e., frequency of grantee communication regarding corrective actions and types of grantee feedback provided).	(Enter brief response here)
Additional Documentation	For all subtopics, provide any additional documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked.	(Enter list of documents here)

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of the	
	self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation and	
	other documentation and reports submitted by grantees. ***	

D. Equitable Services (as applicable)

CARES Act Section 18005

ESEA
Section 1117

EDGAR 34 C.F.R. 76.661

<u>Description</u>: A local educational agency receiving GEER funds under section 18002 of the CARES Act shall provide equitable services in the same manner

as provided under section 1117 of the ESEA to students and teachers in non-public schools, as determined in consultation with representatives of non-public schools.

Recommended Participants: GEER Subrecipient Program Director(s), Subrecipient Program Accountant(s)

Subtopics:

- Provision of Equitable Services
- Equitable Services Oversight
- Equitable Services Guidance

Suggested documentation:

- Sample documentation of the topics covered during consultation with private school officials (if available). Please include the date when the LEA first engaged in consultation with private school officials and note if consultation is on-going or when that consultation ended
- Sample communications with private schools or private school organizations about the services the LEA will provide
- Samples of communications of allocations with private school organizations for the current school year
- Sample communication with private schools or private school organizations regarding equipment and supplies purchases
- Sample communications with the SEA, if applicable
- Sample journal entries or other documentation showing provision of equitable services under GEER I
- The most recent guidance provided by the grantee related to the provision of GEER I equitable services
- A link to webinars or other presentations

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
GEER I: Provision of	How many private schools were participating in	(Enter brief response here)	
Equitable Services	equitable services under GEER I? How does that		
	compare to typical ESEA equitable services (such as		
	Title I, Part A, Title II, etc.)?		
GEER I : Provision of	Describe the types of services the LEA is providing to	(Enter brief response here)	
Equitable Services	private school students and teachers. Briefly describe		
	how the LEA provides equitable services to students		
	attending private schools and private school teachers.		
GEER I : Provision of	1 1	(Enter dollar amount and	
Equitable Services	that the LEA has available to provide equitable services?	percentage here)	
GEER I: Provision of	How did the LEA determine the amount of funds	(Enter brief response here)	
Equitable Services	available for equitable services in each participating		
	non-public school?		

GEER I : Provision of Equitable Services	How did the LEA provide notice to appropriate private school officials of the amount of funds that would be available for educational services and other benefits under GEER I that the LEA has determined are available for eligible private school children, teachers and other educational personnel, and families?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I : Provision of Equitable Services	Describe how the LEA engaged in timely and meaningful consultation with private school officials for equitable services, including the topics discussed during consultation.	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I : Provision of Equitable Services	Describe the process used to ensure the appropriate title to and use of any equipment or supplies purchased using funds reserved for equitable services.	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I : Equitable Services Guidance	Did the grantee/designated agency administering GEER I provide assistance to the LEA in calculating the proportional share for providing equitable services?	(Yes/No)
GEER I: Equitable Services Oversight	Describe the types of evidence that the LEA provided to the grantee/designated agency administering GEER when confirming compliance with equitable service requirements.	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I: Equitable Services Guidance	What support (for example, guidance) has the grantee/designated agency administering GEER I provided to the LEA regarding equitable services requirements?	(Enter brief response here)
Additional Documentation	For all subtopics, provide any additional documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked.	(Enter list of documents here)

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of the self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation and other documentation and reports submitted by grantees and subrecipients. ***	

E. Approved Liquidation Extension Requests

CARES Act Section 18002

CRRSA Act Section 312

Uniform Guidance 2 CFR § 200.344(b)

<u>Description:</u> Under <u>2 CFR § 200.344(b)</u>, properly obligated funds must be liquidated within 120 calendar days (or by January 28, 2023, for CARES Act funds). The Department has the authority to approve liquidation extension requests for properly obligated funds upon review of a written request made by a grantee on its own behalf or on behalf of its subgrantees. Final approval of a grantee's written request will be based upon the specific facts and circumstances, in accordance with <u>2 CFR § 200.344(b)</u>. If approved under this process, grantees and subgrantees may have an extension to the liquidation period of up to 14 months beyond the 120 days already available to liquidate funds.

Recommended Participants: GEER Program Director, Program Attorney(s), Program Accountant(s)

Subtopics:

- Liquidation of Funds
- Liquidation Extension Request Approval
- Subrecipient Monitoring
- Drawdown Progress

Suggested documentation:

- G5 drawdown information
- Approved liquidation extension letter
- Liquidation Extension Request submitted by grantee
- Guidance provided to subrecipients regarding need for liquidation extension
- Samples of any collected documentation reflecting timely obligation and allowable uses of funds for liquidation extension inclusion
- Samples of any reviews conducted regarding subrecipient liquidation extension
- Guidance or sample technical assistance documents provided to subrecipients related to liquidation of funds
- Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
Liquidation of Funds:	What is the status of CARES GEER and CRRSA GEER	(Enter brief response here)	
Liquidation of Funds	liquidations/drawdown of funds?		
Liquidation of Funds:	If applicable, describe the process of collecting	(Enter brief response here)	
Liquidation Extension	information and documentation to submit to the		
Request Approval	grantee for inclusion within the State's Liquidation		
	Extension Request.		
Liquidation of Funds:	If applicable, how is the grantee monitoring the	(Enter brief response here)	
Subrecipient Monitoring	subrecipient's implementation of its approved Late		
	Liquidation Extension Request?		
Liquidation of Funds:	If applicable, what documentation has been collected	(Enter brief response here)	
Subrecipient Monitoring	from subrecipients for inclusion in the grantee's		
	approved Late Liquidation Extension Request related		
	to timely obligation and allowable uses of funds?		
Liquidation of Funds:	How does the grantee ensure that LEAs are using	(Enter brief response here)	
Subrecipient Monitoring	late liquidation funds on approved uses and not		
	modifying contracts or budgets in a manner that is		
	not in compliance with the Late Liquidation		
	Extension Request?		
Liquidation of Funds:	What technical assistance or support has been	(Enter brief response here)	
Subrecipient Monitoring	provided related to the final liquidation of funds		
	regarding contracts and contracted services		
	included in the Late Liquidation Extension Request?		
Liquidation of Funds:	When are subrecipient late liquidation funds	(Enter brief response here)	
Drawdown Progress	anticipated to be liquidated?		
Additional	For all subtopics, provide any additional	(Enter list of documents here)	
Documentation	documentation that would serve as evidence for the		
	questions asked.		

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of the self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation and other documentation and reports submitted by grantees. ***	