GEER¹ Monitoring – Program Fiscal and Program Requirement Domains – Grantee Self-assessment

A. State Context

CARES Act Section 18002

CRRSA Act Section 312

GEER Certification and Agreement

<u>Description</u>: Congress set aside approximately \$3 billion of the \$30.75 billion allotted to the Education Stabilization Fund through the Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security (CARES) Act for the Governor's Emergency Education Relief Fund (GEER Fund) and \$4 billion through the Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations (CRRSA) Act to include both GEER funding and funding for an Emergency Assistance to Non-Public Schools (EANS) program. The Department awarded grants to Governors to address the impact that COVID-19 has had, and continues to have, on the education activities in their States. Funds must be used for allowable activities to prevent, prepare for, and respond to COVID-19.

Recommended Participants: GEER Program Director, Program Attorney(s), Program Accountant(s)

Subtopics:

- State Context Implementation
- State Context K-12 Impact
- State Context Fiscal Impact
- State Context Technical Assistance

Subtopic	Questions	Response
GEER I & II: State	In general, how is the implementation of the GEER program	(Enter brief response here)
Context –	proceeding?	

¹ GEER I refers to GEER funds authorized under the CARES Act. GEER II refers to funds authorized under CRRSA. GEER I and II funds may be used for pre-award costs dating back to March 13, 2020, when the national emergency was declared. For GEER I, the grantee was required award the funds within one year of receiving them, which was April through June 2021, depending on the award date. GEER I funds were available for obligation by the grantee and subrecipients through September 30, 2022. For GEER II, the grantee was required to award the funds within one year of receiving them, which was January 2022. GEER II funds are available for obligation by the grantee and subrecipients through September 30, 2023.

Implementation		
GEER I & II : State Context – Implementation	What have been some of the major barriers (if any) to implementation? Were there any difficulties in obtaining personal protective equipment and other supplies necessary to ensure that school personnel were safe? How have the barriers to implementation changed from 2020 to today?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II : State Context – Implementation	What actions taken by the State or LEAs in response to the pandemic and its impact on public K-12 education do you believe was the most effective and/or the most essential?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II : State Context – Implementation	Please describe how the State determined needs to be addressed using GEER funds in 2020 at the start of the pandemic. Please also explain, if new needs were identified, how the determination of needs changed when implementing GEER II.	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II : State Context – Implementation	How did overall implementation of the GEER program change during the pandemic (March 2020 to current day)?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER II: State Context – Implementation	Did the State use any of its other CARES Act or CRRSA Act funds, such as the Coronavirus Relief Fund, to support K-12 education in the State? If yes, how did access to those funds impact how the State implemented the GEER program?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II : State Context – K-12 Impact	Has the State experienced a decline in enrollment in K-12 public schools? If so, what do you think the underlying cause is? Are the declines across the board or more prominent among certain groups of students, such as students from high-income families or students from low-income families? Are private school enrollments increasing? Is home-schooling increasing? Does the State have a strategy to recapture these students?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II : State Context – K-12 Impact	Please describe any declines in the number of K-12 public school teachers because of the pandemic and detail how the State has addressed these declines.	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II : State Context – Fiscal Impact	Are you aware of any waste, fraud, or abuse regarding GEER fund grants, subgrants or contracts, either at the State or local level? If so, what was the process used to identify and report the issue? What type of follow-up occurred or occurs when waste, fraud, or abuse is identified?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II : State Context – Technical Assistance	What assistance or information can the Department provide to help the State with its implementation?	(Enter brief response here)

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of	
	the self-assessment responses, and other documentation and reports	
	submitted by grantees and LEAs or other subrecipients. ***	

B. Budgeting of the Administrative Reservation (as applicable) and Subawards²

CARES Act Section 18002(c)

CRRSA Act Section 312(c)

GEER Certification and Agreement

EDGAR 34 C.F.R. 76.530 (General Cost Principles)

Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. 200.403-475

<u>Description</u>: A grantee and its subrecipients can only use program funds for allowable costs, as defined in the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements (2 C.F.R. Part 200), which include, among other things, the requirement that costs be reasonable and necessary for the accomplishment of program objectives, which are to prevent, prepare for, and respond to coronavirus. Additionally, with funds not otherwise allocated, a State may reserve a reasonable amount necessary to administer the grant.

Recommended Participants: GEER Program Director, Program Attorney(s), Program Accountant(s)

Subtopics:

- Budget Development Process
- Assurances Administrative or Executive Salaries and Benefits
- Support for Development of Subrecipient Program Budgets
- Review of Subrecipient Program Budgets

² This section covers subawards to subrecipients, which are distinct from contracts and the procurement process. Procurement requirements are covered in a separate, cross-cutting fiscal protocol.

Suggested documentation:

- Documented procedures for developing budgets, including criteria staff use to evaluate proposed costs and activities
- Technical assistance or guidance documentation (handbooks, emails, presentations, etc.) provided to subrecipients
- Budget documentation
- Budget or other documentation demonstrating State administrative costs
- For subrecipients participating in this review submitted budgets or applications for GEER as well as any communications between the grantee and the subrecipient during the application review process
- Sample guidance or other communications with subrecipients regarding how program funds are to be used (including any cost allowability requirements)
- Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
GEER I & II : Budget Development Process	How did the grantee prepare budgets and plan for the use of administrative funds from GEER?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II : Budget Development Process	If applicable, did the grantee continue to pay its employees and contractors during the period of any disruptions or closures related to COVID-19?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Budget Development Process	How is the grantee monitoring subrecipient compliance with the requirement that, to the greatest extent practicable, they continue to compensate their employees and contractors?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Support for Development of Subrecipient Program Budgets	Please describe how you provide guidance or technical assistance to subrecipients for the purposes of their budget preparation.	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Review of Subrecipient Budgets	If applicable, please describe the process used to review subrecipient budgets and/or other information submitted during the application review process for GEER to ensure that subrecipient proposed uses of funds are only for allowable activities and allowable expenditures.	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Assurances – Administrative or Executive Salaries and Benefits	How did the grantee ensure that eligible entities did not use GEER funds for executive compensation?	(Enter brief response here)	

GEER I & II: Review of Subrecipient Budgets	How does the grantee ensure that GEER reimbursements, pre-award costs, or other allowable expenditures were incurred on or after March 13, 2020 (the date the President declared the national emergency due to COVID-19)?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II : Review of Subrecipient Budgets	What is the grantee's process for determining if the above expenses are:	
GEER I & II : Review of Subrecipient Budgets	 Consistent with the purposes of GEER (to prevent, prepare for, and respond to coronavirus)? 	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II: Review of Subrecipient Budgets	 Allowable under CARES 18002(c) and CRRSA 312(c) to provide educational services to students and/or to support the on-going functionality of an LEA or IHE, or are otherwise specifically authorized by the plain statutory language and context of § 18002(c)(3) of the CARES Act) and § 312(c) of the CRRSA Act? 	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II : Review of Subrecipient Budgets	Reasonable & necessary?	(Enter brief response here)
GEER I & II: Review of Subrecipient Budgets	Does the grantee have a process to evaluate prior approval requests from subrecipients? If so, please describe that process.	(Enter brief response here)
Additional Documentation	For all subtopics, provide any additional documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked.	(Enter list of documents here)

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of the	
	self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation and	
	other documentation and reports submitted by grantees. ***	

C. Allocations/Sub-Award Process

CARES Act Section 18002(c) CRRSA Act Section 312 (c)

Davis-Bacon and Related Acts

EDGAR 34 C.F.R. 76.50 34 C.F.R. 76.51 34 C.F.R. 76.300 34 CFR 76.600 34 CFR 75.600-75.618 34 C.F.R. 76.789 34 C.F.R. 76.792

Uniform Guidance <u>2 C.F.R. 200.331(a)</u> <u>2 CFR 3474</u>

<u>Description</u>: The grantee shall ensure that, when subawarding funds to subrecipients, it makes subawards in accordance with applicable statutory requirements (including requirements related to the process for subawarding funds and the amounts to be subawarded to individual subrecipients).

Recommended Participants: GEER Program Director, Program Attorney(s), Program Accountant(s)

Subtopics:

- Allocations
- Subaward Application Submission
- Prior Approval Process and Construction
- Grant Award Notice

Suggested documentation:

- Program manual or handbook excerpt or link describing subrecipient allocation process for GEER
- Subrecipient application template or link for GEER (or a consolidated plan template if used)
- If not included in program manual or handbook for GEER, descriptions of:
 - Process used to calculate subrecipient amounts
 - Sample subrecipient award notice for GEER
 - List of subrecipients (including amounts) for each GEER subrecipient for the current fiscal year, as applicable
 - o Documentation (or description) of process used to review, verify, and approve subrecipient calculations prior to award
 - o Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
GEER I & II: Allocations	How did the grantee determine which IHEs, LEAs, or education related entities within the State were deemed essential for carrying out emergency educational services to students for authorized activities?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	If applicable, how did the grantee determine which IHEs have been most significantly impacted by coronavirus?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	If applicable, how did the grantee determine which significantly impacted IHEs would be served?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	Please describe any differences in process, outlined above, for distribution of GEER I funding as compared to GEER II funding.	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	If applicable, how did the grantee and/or SEA determine which LEAs have been most significantly impacted by coronavirus?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	If applicable, how did the grantee determine which significantly impacted IHEs would be served?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	If applicable, please describe any differences in process, outlined above, for distribution of GEER I funding as compared to GEER II funding.	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	If applicable, how did the grantee determine which other educational entities have been most significantly impacted by coronavirus?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II : Allocations	If applicable, how did the grantee determine which significantly impacted other educational entities would be served?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II : Allocations	If applicable, please describe any differences in process, outlined above, for distribution of GEER I funding as compared to GEER II funding.	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	If applicable, please describe the process for determining, at the discretion of the Governor, how to distribute GEER funds, including any process for determining subaward and/or contract amounts, and	(Enter brief response here)	

	obtaining and reviewing data to calculate award amounts and determine eligibility.		
GEER I & II : Allocations	If applicable, please describe the process for determining, at the discretion of the Governor, whether GEER funds would be provided through subawards or contracts?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	Please describe the process for determining whether subawards and/or contracts for GEER funds would be awarded competitively or noncompetitively? If noncompetitive awards of grants or contracts were made, how did the grantee determine this was the right thing to do?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II : Allocations	If applicable, please describe any differences in process, outlined above, for distribution of GEER I funding as compared to GEER II funding.	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	To the extent applicable, how did the Governor work with the SEA to determine which LEAs were most significantly impacted by coronavirus, and distribute funds? Did this process and the resulting identification of LEAs change between GEER I and GEER II?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Allocations	After determining how GEER funds would be distributed, describe the grantee's process for notifying and distributing GEER funds to eligible recipients. Address any changes in notification or distribution processes between GEER I and GEER II.	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II : Application Submission	If applicable, describe how the grantee collects subrecipient information for grant awards from eligible entities for GEER I and GEER II.	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II : Application Submission	If applicable, describe how the grantee collects subrecipient information for contracts from eligible entities for GEER I and GEER II.	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Application Submission	If applicable, describe how the grantee collects subrecipient information for inter-agency agreements from eligible entities for GEER I and GEER II.	(Enter brief response here)	

GEER I & II: Prior	Please describe the grantee's process to evaluate	(Enter brief response here)	
Approval Process and	subrecipient prior approval requests for		
Construction	construction, remodeling, alterations, renovations, or		
	repairs projects, including how the grantee ensures		
	that the projects are related to preventing, preparing		
	for, or responding to COVID-19.		
GEER I & II: Prior	What guidance and/or technical assistance does the	(Enter brief response here)	
Approval Process and	grantee provide to subrecipients regarding the		
Construction	requirements under Davis-Bacon and Related Acts		
	and other requirements around construction,		
	renovation, and other applicable projects?		
GEER I & II: Grant	Does the grantee's subrecipient Grant Award Notice	Yes/No (Circle One)	
Award Notice	for GEER I and GEER II include the required		
	information from <u>2 C.F.R. 200.331(a)</u> ?		
Additional	For all subtopics, provide any additional	(Enter list of documents here)	
Documentation	documentation that would serve as evidence for the		
	questions asked.		

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of the self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation and other documentation and reports submitted by grantees. ***	

D. Transparency Act Reporting

CARES Act Section 15011

CRRSA Act Section 312(a)

Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act of 2006 (FFATA), Pub.L. 109-282, as amended by the Digital Accountability and Transparency Act (DATA Act), Pub.L. 113-101

Reporting Subaward and Executive Compensation Information (2 C.F.R. Part 170) <u>2 C.F.R. 170.220(a)</u>

<u>2 C.F.R. 170 App. A</u>

Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. 200.300(b)

<u>Description</u>: States that received ESSER I, ESSER II, ARP ESSER, GEER I, GEER II, EANS I or APR EANS funds must comply with the FFATA reporting requirements. On a monthly basis, a State is required to report information identifying subrecipients (name, address, UEI) and subawards (CFDA number, award number, title) if, at any point during the award period, the SEA subawards \$30,000 or more in program funds (cumulatively) to any single subrecipient. For more information on FFATA see <u>FSRS – Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act Subaward Reporting System</u>.

<u>Recommended Participants</u>: GEER and EANS Program Directors, Program Attorney(s), Program Accountant(s)

Subtopics:

- FFATA Reporting
- FFATA Data Accuracy

Suggested documentation:

- Documented policies and procedures for FFATA reporting
- Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
GEER I & II: FFATA	What process does the grantee use to ensure that all	(Enter brief response here)	
Reporting	required Federal Funding Accountability and		
	Transparency Act (FFATA) subawards are reported		
	accurately to the FFATA subaward reporting system		
	(FSRS) in accordance with established timelines (i.e.,		
	by the end of the month following the month in		
	which the qualifying award was made)?		
GEER I & II: FFATA	Who is responsible for submitting FFATA reports for	(Enter brief response here)	
Reporting	the grantee?		
GEER I & II: FFATA	If the grantee has experienced reporting challenges,	(Enter brief response here)	
Reporting	what documentation was provided to the		
	Department to serve as evidence of effort made to		
	resolve issues?		
GEER I & II: FFATA	What is the current status of grantee FFATA	(Enter brief response here)	
Reporting	subaward reporting? Are there any outstanding		

	issues?	
GEER I & II : FFATA Reporting	What internal controls are in place to ensure accurate subaward reporting?	(Enter brief response here)
EANS and ARP EANS: FFATA Reporting	What process does the grantee use to ensure that all required Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA) subawards are reported accurately to the FFATA subaward reporting system (FSRS) in accordance with established timelines (i.e., by the end of the month following the month in which the qualifying award was made)?	(Enter brief response here)
EANS and ARP EANS: FFATA Reporting	Who is responsible for submitting FFATA reports for the grantee?	(Enter brief response here)
EANS and ARP EANS : FFATA Reporting	If the grantee has experienced reporting challenges, what documentation was provided to the Department to serve as evidence of effort made to resolve issues?	(Enter brief response here)
EANS and ARP EANS: FFATA Reporting	What is the current status of grantee FFATA subaward reporting?	(Enter brief response here)
EANS and ARP EANS: FFATA Reporting	What internal controls are in place to ensure accurate subaward reporting?	(Enter brief response here)
Additional Documentation	For all subtopics, provide any additional documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked.	(Enter list of documents here)

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of the	
	self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation and	
	other documentation and reports submitted by grantees. ***	

E. Risk Assessment (Subrecipient)

Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. 200.332(b)

<u>Description</u>: To determine the appropriate method and level of subrecipient monitoring, a grantee shall evaluate each subrecipient's risk of noncompliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of the subaward.

Recommended Participants: Chief Financial Officer (or CFO representative), GEER Program Director, GEER Program Attorney(s)

Subtopics:

- Subrecipient Risk Assessment Process
- Use of Subrecipient Risk Assessments
- Risk Data and Risk Assessment Improvements

Suggested documentation:

- Documented risk assessment policies and procedures
- Sample risk assessment frameworks, tools, etc.
- Explanations (and examples) of how risk assessments are utilized to inform program management
- Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
GEER I & II:	Does the grantee have a documented process to	(Enter brief response here)	
Subrecipient Risk	assess subrecipient risk for GEER I and II? If so,		
Assessment Process	when does the grantee evaluate each subrecipient's		
	risk level? Are all subrecipients included in the		
	process?		
GEER I & II:	What risk indicators are included in the grantee's	(Enter brief response here)	
Subrecipient Risk	subrecipient risk assessment? Address any changes		
Assessment Process	in process or indicators between GEER I and GEER II.		
GEER I & II: Risk	How does the grantee obtain the data needed for its	(Enter brief response here)	
Data and Risk	risk assessment process? Has the grantee identified		
Assessment	strategies to facilitate the data collection process for		
Improvements	risk assessment?		
GEER I & II: Use of	How does the grantee utilize the results of its risk	(Enter brief response here)	
Subrecipient Risk	assessment(s)?		
Assessments			

Additional	For all subtopics, provide any additional	(Enter list of documents here)
Documentation	documentation that would serve as evidence for the	
	questions asked.	

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of the	
	self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation and	
	other documentation and reports submitted by grantees. ***	

F. Subrecipient Monitoring

Section 442 of the General Education Provisions Act (GEPA), 20 U.S.C. 1232e.

Uniform Guidance <u>2 C.F.R. 200.332(d), (e)</u> <u>2 C.F.R. 200.403-475</u>

Davis-Bacon and Related Acts

EDGAR 34 CFR 76.600 34 CFR 75.600-75.618

<u>Description</u>: A grantee shall monitor subrecipients and any other entities, including external providers, receiving Federal funds from programs to ensure that all applicable fiscal and programmatic performance goals are achieved and that subawards are used for authorized purposes and in compliance with Federal statutes, regulations, and the terms and conditions of Federal awards.

Recommended Participants: GEER Program Director, Program Attorney(s)

Subtopics:

- Pre-Monitoring Process
- Monitoring Activities
- Post-Monitoring Process

Suggested documentation:

• Subrecipient monitoring handbooks, SOPs, etc. for GEER I and GEER II

- Subrecipient monitoring schedules or monitoring plans for GEER I and GEER II
- Sample subrecipient monitoring protocols for GEER I and GEER II (or a multi-program monitoring protocol if applicable)
- Subrecipient monitoring report for a subrecipient from most recent visit with a monitoring finding
- Documentation of corrective action follow-up activities for subrecipient monitoring findings including both communications with subrecipient and evidence of implementation of corrective action (if available)
- Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
GEER I & II: Pre-	How does the grantee select subrecipients for	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Process	monitoring? Does the grantee use its risk assessment process?		
GEER I & II: Pre-	How many subrecipients are monitored annually for	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Process	GEER I and GEER II?		
GEER I & II: Pre-	How does the grantee notify subrecipients selected	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Process	for subrecipient monitoring?		
GEER I & II: Pre-	How does the grantee coordinate and train its	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Process	program staff (and/or contractors) for subrecipient		
	monitoring?		
GEER I & II:	How does the grantee monitor LEA compliance with	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Activities	the requirements of Section 442 of the General		
	Education Provisions Act (GEPA), 20 U.S.C. 1232e.?		
GEER I & II:	What types of program monitoring activities does	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Activities	the grantee engage in to ensure that subrecipients are		
	meeting requirements that program funds are used		
	only for authorized purposes and in compliance with		
	all applicable Federal statutes, regulations, and the		
	terms and conditions of Federal awards?		
GEER I & II:	Describe the process the grantee uses to monitor	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring Activities	financial/fiscal requirements.		
GEER I & II:	How does the SEA monitor compliance with the		
Monitoring Activities	requirements for construction, remodeling,		
	alterations, renovations, and repairs from Uniform		
	Guidance Cost Principles (<u>2 C.F.R. 200.403-475</u>), as		
	well as the Department's applicable regulations		
	regarding construction at <u>34 CFR 76.600</u> and <u>75.600-</u>		
	<u>75.618</u> and any other applicable statutes or		

GEER I & II: Monitoring Activities	regulations? (See Frequently Asked Questions Elementary and Secondary School Emergency Relief Programs Governor's Emergency Education Relief Programs, B-6) How does the SEA monitor compliance with the requirement that an LEA record the notice of federal	(Enter brief response here)	
	interest in the official real property records for the jurisdiction in which the facility is located as soon as possible but ideally when the LEA receives approval from the SEA to construct or renovate a facility?		
GEER I & II : Post- Monitoring Process	How does the grantee communicate monitoring results to subrecipients?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Post- Monitoring Process	Describe the process the grantee uses to ensure that subrecipients address and resolve issues identified during subrecipient monitoring (i.e., monitoring follow-up).	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Post- Monitoring Process	How does the SEA follow up with an LEA if there are Davis-Bacon violations or noncompliance with the requirements for construction, remodeling, alterations, renovations, and repairs from Uniform Guidance Cost Principles (<u>2 C.F.R. 200.403-475</u>), as well as the Department's applicable regulations regarding construction at <u>34 CFR 76.600</u> and <u>75.600- 75.618</u> and any other applicable statutes or regulations?		
GEER I & II: Post- Monitoring Process	How does the grantee follow up with subrecipients when there is a GEPA issue related to the steps the LEA takes to permit students, teachers, and other program beneficiaries to overcome barriers (including barriers based on gender, race, color, national origin, disability, and age) that impede access to, or participation in, the program?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II : Post- Monitoring Process	How are monitoring activities finalized prior to the closeout of subrecipient grants?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I & II: Post- Monitoring Process	How does the grantee identify and address any issues that repeatedly arise during subrecipient monitoring visits (both for the same subrecipients and across subrecipients), as applicable? Were any improvements made in the implementation of GEER	(Enter brief response here)	

	II as a result of GEER I subrecipient monitoring?		
Additional Documentation	For all subtopics, provide any additional documentation that would serve as evidence for the	(Enter list of documents here)	
	questions asked.		

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of the self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation and other documentation and reports submitted by grantees. ***	

G. Equitable Services (as applicable)

CARES Act Section 18005

ESEA Section 1117

EDGAR 34 C.F.R. 76.661

<u>Description</u>: A local educational agency receiving GEER funds under section 18002 of the CARES Act shall provide equitable services in the same manner as provided under section 1117 of the ESEA to students and teachers in non-public schools, as determined in consultation with representatives of non-public schools.

Recommended Participants: GEER Program Director, Program Attorney(s), Program Accountant(s), Equitable Services Ombudsman

Subtopics:

- Grantee Oversight of Equitable Services
- Equitable Services Guidance and Support

Suggested documentation:

• If appropriate, a copy of the memorandum of understanding, interagency agreement, or other document that formally transfers the administration of GEER I to another State agency.

- Guidance provided to LEAs regarding provision of equitable services
- Samples of any reviews conducted regarding equitable services
- Guidance or sample communications with private schools or private school organizations around the provision of equitable services
- Guidance or sample technical assistance documents provided to private school officials or private school organizations
- Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
GEER I: Applicability of Equitable Services Requirements	Were GEER I funds awarded to LEAs? (If NO , move to next topic section.)	(Yes/No)	
GEER I : Grantee Oversight of Equitable Services	If the grantee is not directly administering GEER I funds awarded to LEAs, which State agency is responsible for such administration?	(Enter brief response her	e)
GEER I : Grantee Oversight of Equitable Services	How has the grantee/designated agency ensured that LEAs engaged in timely and meaningful consultation with private school officials?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I : Grantee Oversight of Equitable Services	What process (or processes) did the grantee/designated agency use to ensure that LEAs are providing equitable services to private schools in accordance with applicable requirements?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I : Grantee Oversight of Equitable Services	How has the grantee/designated agency ensured that LEAs are properly monitoring the distribution and use of equipment and supplies purchased for the purposes of providing equitable services to eligible private schools?	(Enter brief response here)	
GEER I : Grantee Oversight of Equitable Services	What information did an LEA provide to the grantee/designated agency during the subrecipient application process, if applicable, regarding its	(Enter brief response here)	

	plans for providing equitable services using GEER I funds?		
GEER I : Equitable Services Guidance and Support	Did the grantee/designated agency provide guidance to private school officials to enable them to better understand the requirements and process for equitable services and to facilitate the process of consultation with LEAs?	(Enter brief response here)	
Additional Documentation	For all subtopics, provide any additional documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked.	(Enter list of documents response here)	

Subtopic	Question	Response
GEER I: Grantee	Describe the types of information an	
Oversight of Equitable	LEA provided to the	
Services	grantee/designated agency during the	
	subrecipient application process	
	regarding its plans for providing	
	equitable services using GEER I funds,	
	as applicable.	

H. Approved Liquidation Extension Requests

CARES Act Section 18002

CRRSA Act Section 312

Uniform Guidance <u>2 CFR § 200.344(b)</u>

<u>Description:</u> Under <u>2 CFR § 200.344(b)</u>, properly obligated funds must be liquidated within 120 calendar days (or by January 28, 2023, for CARES Act funds). The Department has the authority to approve liquidation extension requests for properly obligated funds upon review of a written request made by a grantee on its own behalf or on behalf of its subgrantees. Final approval of a grantee's written request will be based upon the specific facts and

circumstances, in accordance with <u>2 CFR § 200.344(b)</u>. If approved under this process, grantees and subgrantees may have an extension to the liquidation period of up to 14 months beyond the 120 days already available to liquidate funds.

Recommended Participants: GEER Program Director, Program Attorney(s), Program Accountant(s)

Subtopics:

- Liquidation of Funds
- Liquidation Extension Request Approval
- Drawdown Progress
- Subrecipient Monitoring

Suggested documentation:

- G5 drawdown information
- Approved liquidation extension letter
- Liquidation Extension Request submitted by grantee
- Guidance provided to subrecipients regarding need for liquidation extension
- Samples of any collected documentation reflecting timely obligation and allowable uses of funds for liquidation extension inclusion
- Samples of any reviews conducted regarding subrecipient liquidation extension
- Guidance or sample technical assistance documents provided to subrecipients related to liquidation of funds
- Other documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked

Subtopic	Questions	Response	Supporting Documentation
Liquidation of	What is the status of CARES GEER and	(Enter brief response here)	
Funds	CRRSA GEER liquidations/drawdown		
	of funds?		
Liquidation	Describe the process of collecting	(Enter brief response here)	
Extension Request	information and documentation from		
Approval	subrecipients included within the		
	State's Liquidation Extension Request.		
	(If the recipient does not have an		
	extension to the period of liquidation,		
	no further responses are necessary.)		
Subrecipient	How is the grantee monitoring the	(Enter brief response here)	
Monitoring	implementation its approved Late		
	Liquidation Extension Request for		

Documentation	additional documentation that would serve as evidence for the questions asked.		
Additional	funds anticipated to be liquidated? For all subtopics, provide any	(Enter list of documents here)	
Drawdown Progress	When are subrecipient late liquidation	(Enter brief response here)	
Diawuowii riogress	liquidation funds anticipated to be liquidated? (If there are no State-level funds included, proceed to the next question).		
Drawdown Progress	related to the final liquidation of funds regarding contracts and contracted services included in the Late Liquidation Extension Request? When are the State-level late	(Enter brief response here)	
Subrecipient Monitoring	What technical assistance or support has been provided to subrecipients	(Enter brief response here)	
Subrecipient Monitoring	How does the grantee ensure that LEAs are using late liquidation funds on approved uses and not modifying contracts or budgets in a manner that is not in compliance with the Late Liquidation Extension Request?	(Enter brief response here)	
Subrecipient Monitoring	those subrecipients that received an extension to the liquidation period? What documentation has been collected from subrecipients included in the grantee's approved Late Liquidation Extension Request related to timely obligation and allowable uses of funds?	(Enter brief response here)	

Subtopic	Question	Response
TBD	*** Desk review questions will be derived from the initial review of	
	the self-assessment responses, submitted supporting documentation	
	and other documentation and reports submitted by grantees. ***	