Full-Service Community Schools FY 2022 Notice Inviting Applications –
The Role of Local Educational Agencies and Absolute Priority
4 Multi-LEA Grants

Office of Elementary & Secondary Education (OESE)
School Choice and Improvement Program (SCIP)
Overview

• Eligibility
• Role of LEAs in Full-Service Community Schools
• Review of Absolute Priorities (APs) 3, 4, and 5
• Competitive Preference Priorities
• AP 4: Multi Local Educational Agency Grants
• AP 4 Application Requirements
• Program Requirements
• Selection Criteria
• Submission of Applications
• Pre-Application Technical Assistance and Resources
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Eligibility, Awards, and Deadlines</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Eligible applicants: A consortium of (a)(i) One or more LEAs; or (ii) the Bureau of Indian Education; and (b) One or more community-based organizations, nonprofit organizations, or other public or private entities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP 3 awards are up to $2.5 million over 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP 4 awards are up to $15 million over 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP 5 awards are up to $50 million over 5 years</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for notice of intent to apply is August 12, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for submission of application is September 12, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards made by December 30, 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Role of Local Educational Agencies (LEAs) in Full-Service Community Schools

- Can be the lead applicant
- Must be partner in any FSCS application (AP3, AP4, AP5)
- Work closely with and support individual schools
- Identify ways to scale to more schools in the LEA, including through policies, budget, and technical assistance
- Work with community-based organizations, nonprofits, public or private organizations
- Work with State educational agency (SEA)
Absolute Priority (AP) 1 or AP2

AP1: To meet this priority, applicants must propose to serve:

(1) a minimum of two or more full-service community schools eligible for a schoolwide program under section 1114(b) of the ESEA, as part of a community- or district-wide strategy.

AP2: To meet this priority, applicants must propose to serve:

(1) a minimum of two or more full-service community schools eligible for a schoolwide program under section 1114(b) of the ESEA, as part of a community- or district-wide strategy; and

(2) Include a local educational agency (LEA) that satisfies the requirements of the Small Rural Achievement (SRSA) program or the Rural and Low-Income School (RLIS) program.
## AP1: Title IA Schoolwide Program Eligibility

|---------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|

**Combination Options:**
- AP1 & AP3; AP1 & AP4; or AP1 & AP5

## AP2: Title IA Schoolwide Program Eligibility & Rural Districts – Small and Rural or Rural and Low-Income

**Combination Options:**
- AP2 & AP3; AP2 & AP4; or AP2 & AP5

## AP3: Capacity Building and Development Grants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AP4: Multi-Local Educational Agency Grants</th>
<th>AP5: FSCS State Scaling Grants</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

**Combination Options:**
- AP2 & AP3; AP2 & AP4; or AP2 & AP5
### FY 2022 FSCS Absolute and Competitive Preference Priorities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Applicant/eligible entity</th>
<th>Absolute priority (AP) 1 OR AP2</th>
<th>PLUS ONE OF THE FOLLOWING: AP 3, AP 4, or AP 5</th>
<th>AP3: Capacity Building and Development Grants</th>
<th>AP4: Multi-LEA Grants (minimum of 2 LEAs)</th>
<th>AP5: FSCS State Scaling Grants (# and % of LEAs in State TBD by applicant and SEA)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Maximum Award</td>
<td>$500K/year; $2.5M/full project period</td>
<td>$3M/year; $15M/full project period</td>
<td>$10M/year; $50M/full project period</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Planning period</td>
<td>Up to 5 years; Same + at least 2 LEAs</td>
<td>Up to 5 years; Same + at least 2 LEAs</td>
<td>Up to 5 years; commitment to sustain beyond 2 years after term of grant</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minimum number of schools</td>
<td>2; 2 per LEA, with exception of LEAs that oversee a single school</td>
<td>2 per LEA, with exception of LEAs that oversee a single school</td>
<td>TBD – based on number of LEAs, minimum of 2 per LEA, with exception of LEAs that oversee a single school</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Preliminary MOU</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Selection Criteria</td>
<td>Need Project Design Project Services Adequacy of Resources Management Plan Evaluation</td>
<td>Same</td>
<td>Same + Strategy to Scale</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Competitive preference priorities (CPPs)</td>
<td>CPP1: Meeting Student Social Emotional, and Academic Needs (up to 5 points)</td>
<td>CPP2: Strengthening Cross-Agency Coordination and Community Engagement to Advance Systemic Change (up to 5 points)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
• Implement and sustain full-service community schools in two or more LEAs.
• As outlined in section 4622(1)(B) of the ESEA, an eligible entity for any FSCS grant is a consortium of one or more LEAs or the BIE and one or more community-based organizations, nonprofit organizations, or other public or private entities.
• The project must, with the exception of LEAs that oversee a single school, coordinate and provide services at two or more full-service community schools in each LEA.
PP1: Meeting Student Social, Emotional, and Academic Needs. (up to 5 points)

- Projects that are designed to improve students’ social emotional, academic, and career development, with a focus on underserved students, through one or more of the following priority areas:
  1. Creating education or work-based settings that are supportive, positive, identity-safe, and inclusive with regard to race, ethnicity, culture, language, and disability status, through developing trusting relationships between students (including underserved students), educators, families, and community partners.
  2. Providing multi-tiered systems of supports that address learning barriers both in and out of the classroom, that enable healthy development and respond to students' needs and which may include evidence-based trauma-informed practices and professional development for educators on avoiding deficit-based approaches.
  3. Creating and implementing comprehensive schoolwide frameworks (such as small schools or learning communities, advisory systems, or looping educators) that support strong and consistent student and educator relationships.
The Secretary gives priority to projects that are designed to take a systemic evidence-based approach to improving outcomes for underserved students in coordinating efforts with Federal, State, or local agencies, or community-based organizations, that support students, to address community violence prevention and intervention.
**LEAs Across Absolute Priorities**

**AP3**
- LEA (1 or more)
- CBO (1 or more)
- 2 schools (or more)

**AP4**
- LEA-1
- LEA-2 (or more)
- CBO (1 or more)
- 4 schools* (or more)

**AP5**
- LEA-1
- LEA-2, 3, 4, etc.
- CBO (1 or more)
- SEA (not lead applicant)
- # of schools depends upon # of LEAs, 2 per LEA*

*If an LEA oversees a single school, it does not need to provide services at 2 schools*
1. Description of eligible entity
2. Preliminary MOU
3. Description of capacity of eligible entity
4. Comprehensive plan
5. Assurance that eligible entity and partner entities will focus services on schools eligible for a schoolwide program under section 1114(b) of ESEA
FSCS Application Requirements 1, 2, and 3

- Description of eligible entity. (4625(a)(1))
- A preliminary MOU among all partner entities of the eligible entity, identified at the time of application, that will assist the eligible entity to plan, develop, coordinate, provide, and evaluate pipeline services and that describes the roles and responsibilities that the partners, including the broadly representative consortium, will assume. (4625(a)(2) and FSCS NFP)
- A description of the capacity of the eligible entity to coordinate and provide pipeline services at two or more full-service community schools in each of the LEAs included in the application. (4625(a)(3) and FSCS NFP)
A. Student, family, and school community to be served  
B. Needs assessment  
C. Annual measurable performance objectives and outcomes  
D. Pipeline services  
E. Description of pillars of full-service community schools  
F. Plans to ensure that each full-service community school has a full-time coordinator  
G. Plans for an annual evaluation  
H. Plans for sustaining programs and services
Comprehensive Plan: Items A, B, and C

(A)The student, family, and school community to be served, including demographic information. (4625(a)(4)(A))

(B)A needs assessment that identifies the academic, physical, nonacademic, health, mental health, and other needs of students, families, and community residents. (4625(a)(4)(B))

(C)Annual measurable performance objectives and outcomes, including an increase in the number and percentage of families and students targeted for services each year of the program, in order to ensure that children are--
   (i) Prepared for kindergarten; (4625(a)(4)(C)(i))
   (ii) Achieving academically; (4625(a)(4)(C)(ii)) and
   (iii) Safe, healthy, and supported by engaged parents. (4625(a)(4)(C)(iii))
Comprehensive Plan Item D: Pipeline Services

(D) Pipeline services, including existing and additional pipeline services, to be coordinated and provided by the eligible entity and its partner entities, including an explanation of:

(i) Why such services have been selected;
(ii) How such services will improve student academic achievement; and
(iii) How such services will address the annual measurable performance objectives and outcomes established under paragraph (4)(C) of this requirement. (4625(a)(4)(D))

NOTE: Pipeline services is defined in the NIA Definition Section
Continuum of coordinated supports, services, and opportunities for children from birth through entry into and success in postsecondary education and career attainment. Such services shall include, at a minimum, strategies to address through services or programs (including integrated student supports) the following:

(a) High-quality early childhood education programs.
(b) High-quality school and out-of-schooltime programs and strategies.
(c) Support for a child’s transition to elementary school, from elementary school to middle school, from middle school to high school, and from high school into and through postsecondary education and into the workforce, including any comprehensive readiness assessment determined necessary.
(d) Family and community engagement and supports, which may include engaging or supporting families at school or at home.
(e) Activities that support postsecondary and workforce readiness, which may include job training, internship opportunities, and career counseling.
(f) Community-based support for students who have attended the schools in the area served by the pipeline, or students who are members of the community, facilitating their continued connection to the community and success in postsecondary education and the workforce.
(g) Social, health, nutrition, and mental health services and supports.
(h) Juvenile crime prevention and rehabilitation programs.
Comprehensive Plan E: Pillars of Full-Service Community Schools

- Integrated supports
- Expanded and enriched learning time
- Active family and community engagement
- Collaborative leadership and practices to support high-quality teaching
Comprehensive Plan F: Full-Time Coordinator

(F) Plans to ensure that each full-service community school site has a full-time coordinator of pipeline services at such school, including a description of the applicable funding sources, plans for professional development for the personnel managing, coordinating, or delivering pipeline services, and plans for joint utilization and management of school facilities. (4625(a)(4)(E))

Definition: Full-service community school coordinator means an individual in a full-time position at each community school who serves to plan, integrate, coordinate, and facilitate the delivery of pipeline services at each school. The coordinator may also lead the school and community assessment of needs and assets and identify ways to sustain the services and partnerships beyond the duration of the grant.
Comprehensive Plan G: Annual Evaluation

Plans for an annual evaluation based upon attainment of the performance objectives and outcomes described in paragraph (4)(C) of this requirement.

An applicant must, in addition to providing the information and assurances required by Section 4625(a)(4)(F) of the ESEA, commit to an independent evaluation that includes a design and implementation evaluation that will, at a minimum, (1) include annual evaluations of progress achieved with the grant; (2) be used to refine and improve activities carried out through the grant; (3) collect and report data that includes, but is not limited to, the following indicators (next slide); and (4) make results of the evaluation publicly available. (FSCS NFP)
Annual Evaluation Indicators

Quantitative Indicators

• Student chronic absenteeism rates; Student discipline rates, including suspensions and expulsions;
• School climate information, which may come from student, parent, or teacher surveys;
• Provision of integrated student supports and stakeholder services;
• Expanded and enriched learning time and opportunities;
• Family and community engagement efforts and impact;
• Information on the number, qualifications, and retention of school staff, including the number and percentage of fully certified teachers, disaggregated by race and ethnicity, rates of teacher turnover, and teacher experience;
• Graduation rates;

Qualitative Indicators

• Changes in school spending information
• Collaborative leadership and practice strategies, which may include building the capacity of educators, principals, other school leaders, and other staff to lead collaborative school improvement structures, such as professional learning communities;
• Regularly convening or engaging all initiative-level partners, such as LEA representatives, city or county officials, children’s and youth’s cabinets, nonprofit service providers, public housing agencies, and advocates;
• Regularly assessing program quality and progress through individual student data, participant feedback, and aggregate outcomes to develop strategies for improvement; and
• Organizing school personnel and community partners into working teams focused on specific issues identified in the needs and assets assessment
FSCS Measures, Indicators, and Evaluation

FSCS program measure: Increase in the # and % of families and students targeted for services each year (in order to ensure children are prepared for kindergarten; achieving academically; and safe, healthy, and supported by engaged parents).
Comprehensive Plan H: Sustaining Programs and Services

(H) Plans for sustaining the programs and services described in section 4624(a) of the ESEA after the grant period. (4625(a)(4)(G))

Application Requirement 5: Assurance

(5) An assurance that the eligible entity and its partner entities will focus services on schools eligible for a schoolwide program under section 1114(b). (4625(a)(5))
1. Matching funds
2. Use of funds
   A. Not more than 10% of total amount for planning purposes during first year of grant
   B. Use grant funds
      i. Coordinate not less than 3 existing pipeline services and provide no less than 2 additional services at 2 or more public elementary or secondary schools
      ii. Integrate multiple pipeline services at 2 or more public elementary or secondary schools. Under AP4 and 5, integrate pipeline services at 2 or more schools in each LEA
      iii. If applicable, coordinate and integrate services provided by community-based organizations and government agencies
3. Evaluation
   A. Annual evaluation of progress achieved
   B. Use of evaluation to refine and improve
   C. Make results publicly available
4. Final MOU
• The 2022 FSCA NIA includes 32 definitions.
• The Notice of Final Priority established four new definitions:

1. Broadly representative consortium;
2. Full-service community school coordinator;
3. History of effectiveness; and
4. Pillars of full-service community school.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AP3 and 4 Selection Criteria</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Need for project</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of project design</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of project services</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequacy of resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of management plan</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quality of project evaluation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Eligible applicants: A consortium of (a)(i) One or more LEAs; or (ii) The Bureau of Indian Education; and (b) One or more community-based organizations, nonprofit organizations, or other public or private entities.

Cost Sharing or Matching: To be eligible for an award, an applicant shall provide matching funds through non-Federal contributions, either in cash or in-kind donations. The applicant must propose the amount of cash or in-kind resources to be contributed for each year of the grant. The BIE may meet the matching requirement using funds from other Federal sources.

Supplement not Supplant: Grantees must use FSCS grant funds to supplement, and not supplant, any other Federal, State, and local funds that would otherwise have been available to carry out activities authorized under section 4625 of the ESEA.

Indirect Cost Rate Information: This program uses a restricted indirect cost rate. For more information regarding indirect costs, or to obtain a negotiated indirect cost rate, please see www2.ed.gov/about/offices/list/ocfo/intro.html.

Administrative Cost Limitations: This program does not include any program-specific limitation on administrative expenses. All administrative expenses must be reasonable and necessary and conform to Cost Principles described in 2 CFR part 200 subpart E of the Uniform Guidance.

Subgrantees: A grantee under this competition may not award subgrants to entities to directly carry out project activities described in its application.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Submission and Review of Applications</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Follow Common Instructions for Applicants to Department of Education Discretionary Grant Programs.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Submission of Proprietary Information</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Recommended Page Limit and Formatting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for notice of intent to apply is August 12, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deadline for submission of application is September 12, 2022</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Awards Made by December 30, 2022</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Review of Applications

1. Preliminary eligibility review
2. Peer review
3. Budget and risk review
4. Grant awards (by December 30, 2022)
5. Reviewer comments shared with all applicants
Pre-recorded Overview of FY 2022 Notice Inviting Applications, as well as AP3, AP4, AP5 Presentations

To be added: Recordings of live sessions

Brochures for Institutes of Higher Education (IHEs), SEAs, and full NIA

Frequently Asked Questions are posted on the FSCS competition webpage and are regularly updated

FY 2022 FSCS Application Instructions
Program email address: FSCS@ed.gov
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