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Agenda

- Overview of what makes EIR funded interventions complex
- Overview of how evaluation designs are complex
- Guest panelists: Showcase of two examples
  - IDEA C3: Erin Hearn (Idea Public Schools), Christina Steiner (Copia Consulting)
  - Pathways for Alabama Computer Science (PACS): Jeff Gray (University of Alabama) and Kathy Haynie (Haynie Research and Evaluation)
- Reflections on complex designs for evaluating complex interventions
EIR Funds Complex Interventions

- Complexities in interventions funded by EIR include:
  - Having multiple key components
  - Delivering services and resources for schools, teachers, and students
  - Aiming to affect outcomes that are necessary pre-cursors to academic success
  - Delivering resources in a particular sequence
  - Targeting services to a wide age/grade range
Complex Interventions Create Challenges for Evaluation

- Multiple key components each contribute to an overall impact
- Tracking service delivery to and by multiple stakeholders is challenging
- Reliably measuring a range of outcomes is resource intensive
- Aligning data collection and analysis to the schedule of a sequenced intervention
- Aligning outcome measurement at different grade levels when both the constructs and the measures are different.
Complex Evaluation Designs Address Challenges

- Multiple impact studies within an evaluation can have unique designs to address questions about different components.

- Evaluations can leverage planned or natural variation in service delivery across multiple stakeholders to provide evidence of their relative effectiveness.

- Grantees and evaluators team to create measures of early indicators of impacts on students.

- Deliberate choices about where to focus the research questions to maximize learning and rigor.
Two EIR Studies with Complex Interventions and Evaluation Designs

- IDEA C3: Culture, Character, and College
  - Description of Intervention: Erin Hearn
  - Description of Impact Study: Christina Steiner

- Pathways for Alabama Computer Science
  - Description of Intervention: Jeff Gray
  - Description of Impact Study: Kathy Haynie
IDEA C3: Culture, Character, and College
Six Observable Skills: We A.R.E. College Bound

**Agency**

1. **Goal Orientation**
   - I set personally purposeful goals and create plans.

2. **Ownership**
   - I know what is in my control and take initiative on those items.

**Resilience**

3. **Growth Mindset**
   - I learn from my mistakes and make changes based on past performance.

4. **Perseverance**
   - I use strategies to manage my emotions and behaviors.

**Exploration**

5. **College Exploration**
   - I seek out opportunities to learn about college and career.

6. **Personal Exploration**
   - I know myself – my history, values, strengths, and passions.
School Year 20/21

- 12,707 Students at 22 Treatment Schools
  - 7,832 Middle School Students
  - 4,875 High School Students
  - 40 Counselors
- First year of 2-year intervention
IDEA C3: Culture, Character, and College

Intervention Description

**Inputs**
1. IDEA’s College Success Team
2. EIR Funding
3. Partnership with College Counseling Organization InsideTrack and External Evaluators
4. High Quality Teachers and Counselors in Schools

**Activities**
1. PD for college counselors on coaching model designed to promote college-going identity
2. PD for DCCs on implementation and supervision and for trainers and HQ staff on sustaining model post-grant
3. PD for teachers 6-12 on college-going identity essential teaching skills
4. C3 Curriculum; Training and delivery of student intervention to be delivered through RTTC curriculum
5. Training for 7th, 11th and 12th grade parents related to supporting college-going identity

**Outputs**

**Short-Term Mediators**
- Changes in pedagogy & practice to develop student college-going identity
  - Changes in counseling practice including college counseling theory & practice includes coaching model

**Mid-Term**
- Students develop improved college identity
- Students have higher attendance rates
- Students demonstrate higher achievement in math, reading, and ACT scores
- Improved campus climate

**Long-Term**
- More students apply to selective and highly selective universities
- More students enroll and persist in college
- More students graduate from college within 4-6 years

**Outcomes**
Impact Study
IDEA C3: Culture, Character, and College

Impact Study

- 1 Complexity: Theoretically Impacts May Vary by Age/Grade
- 2 Complexity: Not All Grade Levels Take the Same Tests
- 3 Complexity: Three Outcome Domains
  - Outcomes include Academic, College Access, and Social Emotional Learning
  - Outcomes are aligned to grade-level cohorts, except SEL
    - 7th grade: Renaissance STAR
    - 9th grade: ACT
    - 11th grade: Acceptance to Tier I Colleges
    - 7th, 9th, and 11th grade: Social Emotional Learning Outcomes
IDEA C3: Culture, Character, and College

Impact Study- Outcomes by Domain and Grade (with Covid Complications*)

- 22 Treatment and 22 Control Schools
  - Blocked on region (RGV, San Antonio, Austin, El Paso) and IB status (Yes/No)
  - PSM to establish baseline equivalence

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade/Domain</th>
<th>Academic</th>
<th>College Access</th>
<th>SEL</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7th</td>
<td>Renaissance STAR* (pre/post)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>SEL (pre/post)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9th</td>
<td>ACT* (pre-ACT baseline/ACT post)</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Grit, Self-Efficacy, Growth Mindset</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11th</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>Acceptance to Tier I Colleges (ACT baseline)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*With Covid Complications*
Pathways for Alabama Computer Science (PACS)
Pathways for Alabama Computer Science (PACS)

Intervention Description

- **Rationale for Intervention**
  - In Alabama, a pathway of CS courses is needed to gain students’ interest while strengthening content knowledge (esp. in rural areas)
  - Counselors can benefit from training on topics related to broadening participation in computing and CS career awareness
  - Rural teachers separated geographically from a peer cohort can gain confidence when participating in a CS professional learning community

- **Summary of Intervention**
  - “CSPdWeek” format brings four different trainings together to build a CS professional learning community
    - Bootstrap: Algebra integration
    - ECS: Exploring Computer Science (9/10th grade focus)
    - AP CS Principles: College Board AP
    - NCWIT Counselors for Computing (C4C)
  - Year-round follow-up and professional learning community
  - Goal is for high schools (especially rural schools) to establish computer science pathways
  - 110 teachers and 70 counselors in Year 0 (virtually in Summer 2020, due to COVID-19)
    - Recruiting, logistical, and connectivity challenges
  - Total of 440 teachers and 250 counselors over 4 years, geographical span of 350 miles
  - Fidelity of implementation evaluation is informing implementation
  - Outcomes are student preparation (Computational Thinking, CS attitudes) for CS-related work and study
### Pathways for Alabama Computer Science (PACS): Intervention

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Key Components</th>
<th>Mediators</th>
<th>Outcomes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exploring Computer Science (ECS) PD to Teachers</strong></td>
<td>Teachers implement CS courses within schools</td>
<td><strong>Short</strong> Students receive CS-infused instruction via pathway courses</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Bootstrap Algebra PD to Teachers</strong></td>
<td>Counselors support students in studying CS</td>
<td><strong>Medium</strong> Students receive supports for CS study</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>AP CS Principles PD to Teachers (UTeach)</strong></td>
<td>SCoPs form and pursue CS pathway goals</td>
<td><strong>Long</strong> Students successfully move through CS pathways</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Key Components:**
- Exploring Computer Science (ECS) PD to Teachers
- Bootstrap Algebra PD to Teachers
- AP CS Principles PD to Teachers (UTeach)

**Mediators:**
- Teachers implement CS courses within schools
- Counselors support students in studying CS
- SCoPs form and pursue CS pathway goals

**Outcomes (Short):**
- Students receive CS-infused instruction via pathway courses

**Outcomes (Medium):**
- Increase in students' computational thinking, algebraic problem-solving

**Outcomes (Long):**
- Increase in student enrollment, qualifying scores
- Students successfully move through CS pathways
- Students engage in CS-related workforce, internships, undergraduate study
Impact Study
Pathways for Alabama Computer Science (PACS)

Impact Study Design

- What makes this design complex?
  - PD in three courses + C4C every summer for Years 0-3, followed by course implementations
  - Could study outcomes of individual courses – teacher-level RCTs

- Idea of an entire pathway as an intervention
  - Focus on cumulative effects of this pathway for one group of students
  - Long-term effects on:
    - students’ computational thinking and problem-solving (two + one time-points)
    - CS attitudes and CS-STEM career interest (three time-points)
  - Issues of strength of intervention (optional courses, attrition), appropriate measures for a range of grades
### Pathways for Alabama Computer Science (PACS): Impact Study

#### Random Assignment of High Schools to T or C conditions.
Each high school serves grades 9, 10, 11, 12. Three years of treatment. If students are randomly assigned to T and C conditions within schools, this diagram assumes that treatment/control status is maintained as students move up from 9th to 10th grade, and from 10th to 11th, and assumes that joiner students are randomly assigned to T and C when they enter study schools.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 0</th>
<th>Cell A</th>
<th>Cell J</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2020/2021</td>
<td>8th Grade</td>
<td>Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 1</th>
<th>Cell B</th>
<th>Cell F</th>
<th>Cell K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2021/2022</td>
<td>9th Grade</td>
<td>9th Grade</td>
<td>9th Grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exploring Computer Science</td>
<td>1 Year of Treatment</td>
<td>1 Year of Treatment</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Year = 1</td>
<td>Opt in</td>
<td>Opt out</td>
<td>No CS course</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 2</th>
<th>Cell C</th>
<th>Cell G</th>
<th>Cell L</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2022/2023</td>
<td>10th Grade</td>
<td>10th Grade</td>
<td>10th Grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Algebra I with Bootstrap</td>
<td>2 Years of Treatment</td>
<td>1 Yr of Trt</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Year = 2</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Program Year = 2</td>
<td>Algebra I without Bootstrap</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td>Required</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Year 3</th>
<th>Cell D</th>
<th>Cell E</th>
<th>Cell H</th>
<th>Cell I</th>
<th>Cell M</th>
<th>Cell N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2023/2024</td>
<td>11th Grade</td>
<td>11th Grade</td>
<td>11th Grade</td>
<td>11th Grade</td>
<td>11th Grade</td>
<td>11th Grade</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP CS Principles (UTeach)</td>
<td>3 Yrs Trt</td>
<td>2 Yrs Trt</td>
<td>2 Yrs Trt</td>
<td>1 Yr Trt</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
<td>No treatment</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Program Year = 3</td>
<td>Pgm Yr =3</td>
<td>Opt in</td>
<td>Pgm Yr =3</td>
<td>Opt in</td>
<td>Pgm Yr =3</td>
<td>Opt out</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### Baseline Measures
- 8th Grade State Level Math
- %Free/reduced price lunch*

#### Outcome Measures+
- Comp Thinking Assessment
- CS Attitudes
- CS-STEM Career Interest
- Algebraic Problem Solving
- CS Attitudes
- CS-STEM Career Interest

*School-level measure +Individual-level outcome measures given at end of school year

---

* opt in

* opt out

* Comp Thinking Assessment

* CS Attitudes

* CS-STEM Career Interest

* Algebraic Problem Solving

* CS Attitudes

* CS-STEM Career Interest
Questions and Discussion
Potential Discussion Questions

- For Virtual Participants:
  - What complexities in interventions have others faced?
  - How did these complexities shape the evaluation design?

- For Panelists:
  - The complexities present in these interventions make designing the evaluation more challenging. Can you tell us about the process you all used to work together to ensure the evaluation design fit the intervention.
  - How did potential WWC ratings factor into decisions regarding the evaluation design for these complex interventions?
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