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Terminology--edits

- **STEM**: Science, Technology, Engineering, and Mathematics
  - Combined content across these four specific disciplines taught in an interdisciplinary and applied approach
  - Emphasis on hands-on experiences that provide opportunities for students to gain and apply relevant, “real-world” knowledge in the classroom
  - Emphasis on 21st century skills — ability to solve problems, make sense of information, and know how to gather and evaluate evidence to make decisions
Terminology, Continued

- CS: Computer Science
  - Discipline that spans a range of topics from theoretical studies of computation and information to the practical issues of implementing computing systems in hardware and software

- CT: Computational Thinking
  - The thought processes involved in expressing solutions as computational steps that may be carried out by a computer

- New urgency for defining CT and its relationship to CS, to provide theoretical grounding for the form CT should take in science and mathematics classrooms
EIR Priorities for STEM, CS, CT
Federal strategy for the next five years: All Americans will have lifelong access to high-quality STEM education so the US is the global leader in STEM literacy, innovation, and employment.

EIR reflects this federal vision in its priority for STEM innovations in FY2018 and FY2019 grant competitions.

EIR also prioritizes STEM innovations that develop computer science skills and positions computational thinking as part of computer science:

- Computer science includes “computing principles and theories, algorithmic processes, computational thinking, computer hardware, software design, coding, analytics, and computer applications”.

Increasing EIR Focus on STEM and Computer Science

Percentage of Grants by STEM and Computer Science Priority

2017 Grants:
- 6% STEM Priority, Computer Science Competitive Priority
- 94% No STEM Priority

2018 Grants:
- 28% STEM Priority, Computer Science Competitive Priority
- 22% STEM Priority, No Computer Science Competitive Priority
- 50% No STEM Priority

2019 Grants:
- 62% STEM Priority, Computer Science Competitive Priority
- 17% STEM Priority, No Computer Science Competitive Priority
- 21% No STEM Priority
Increased Need for New Measures of CS and CT

- Increased number of EIR STEM and CS interventions has also shifted the focus to measures of CS and CT skills as key student outcomes
- Increasing need for valid measures
- Only a few states conduct standardized testing of STEM knowledge and none assess CS skills
  - Using state math/science tests to assess STEM outcomes perceived as not well targeted and unlikely to show program effects
- Only one standardized test of CS skills exists: College Board Advanced Placement – Computer Science Principles exam
  - Assesses understanding of the computational thinking practices and learning objectives in course framework
What Is Computational Thinking?
The Problem of Definitions

- Isn’t it just mathematical thinking?
- Isn’t it just trying to think like a computer?
- Isn’t it just the skills needed to do computer programming?
- Isn’t this just like critical thinking?
- Isn’t this just the same thing as an IQ test of logical thinking?
The Mind as Partly a Computer


The Computer as Part of the Mind

Body-Syntonic Reasoning: “Constructionism”
Computational Thinking as Epistemology


Basic Concepts in Computational Thinking

*Decomposition* — breaking down a complex problem or system into smaller, more manageable parts

*Pattern Recognition* — looking for similarities among and within problems

*Abstraction* — focusing on the important information only, ignoring irrelevant detail

*Algorithm* — developing a step-by-step solution to the problem, or the rules to follow to solve the problem

Shah, V. 2018, CSpathshala.
Measuring Computational Thinking and Computer Science Proficiency
Most Used Measure of Computational Thinking

- **Computational Thinking Test (CTt)** (Román-González, 2015)
  - 28 items administered online
  - Each item presented in a “maze” or a “canvas” interface; addresses one or more computational concepts, ordered in increasing difficulty:
    - Basic directions and sequences/Loops/If/If else/While/Simple functions
  - Test has strong psychometrics (reliability and validity)
  - Appropriate for grades 6-10
Example Item, Computational Thinking Test (CTt)

Which instructions take Pac-Man to the ghost by the path marked out?
### Existing Validated Measures of Computational Thinking

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age Level</th>
<th>Measure</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Preschool</strong></td>
<td>TechCheck (Relkin et al., 2020)</td>
<td>Unplugged assessment of computational thinking that does not require knowledge of coding</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elementary school</strong></td>
<td>Computational Thinking Scale (Korkmaz et al., 2017)</td>
<td>29 items covering creativity, cooperativity, algorithmic-critical thinking, problem-solving</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Middle school</strong></td>
<td>Computational Thinking Abilities – Middle Grades Assessment (Wiebe et al., 2019)</td>
<td>Combines items from CTt and Bebras (described in later slide)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Computational Thinking Performance Test (Mindetbay et al., 2019)</td>
<td>50 multiple-choice items covering logical thinking, generalization, abstraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>High school</strong></td>
<td>Computational Thinking in STEM (Weintrop, 2014)</td>
<td>Measures STEM students’ computational thinking skills, highlighting the power of computation in the practice of scientific and mathematical inquiry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Computational Thinking Tool (Yagci, 2018)</td>
<td>Multiple-choice test measuring problem-solving, creative thinking, algorithmic thinking, cooperative learning, and critical thinking</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Computational Thinking Self-Efficacy Survey (Weese &amp; Feldhausen, 2017)</td>
<td>Student perception of their ability to think computationally</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Example Assessment of CT Skills

- **Computational Thinking Performance Test** (Mindetbay et al., 2019)
  - 50 multiple-choice questions and a Computational Thinking Scale questionnaire
  - Covers logical thinking, generalization, and abstraction
  - Shown to be valid and reliable
Computational Thinking Performance Test: Example Item

Appendix A
Sample question on Pattern figures

Each letter is represented by a certain figure.
Identify the letters that are represented by the combination

VIVA  
FIFA  
LIFE  
FIVE  

VLIAF  FLIAL  VLIFA  VLIAV
Computational Thinking Performance Test: Example Item

Appendix C

Sample question on Abstraction

David is granddad to Sally. Sally's brother is Sami. Jack's father is David. Identify the correct diagram

A
David → Samuel
Sally
Sami
Jack

B
David → Jack
Sally
Sami

C
Jack → David
Sally
Sami

D
David → Sami
Samuel
Sally
Example of Survey Measure of Student CT Self-Efficacy

- **Computational Thinking Self-Efficacy Survey** (Weese & Feldhausen, 2017)
  - Student perception of their ability to think computationally
  - Covers problem-solving, computer programming skills, computer programming practices, and computer programming impact
### Computational Thinking Self-Efficacy Survey: Example Item

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>When solving a problem I...</th>
<th>I can write a computer program which...</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1  create a list of steps to solve it</td>
<td>10 runs a step-by-step sequence of commands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2  use math</td>
<td>11 does math operations like addition and subtraction</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3  try to simplify the problem by ignoring details that are not needed (3)</td>
<td>12 uses loops to repeat commands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4  look for patterns in the problem</td>
<td>13 responds to events like pressing a key on the keyboard</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5  break the problem into smaller parts</td>
<td>14 only runs commands when a specific condition is met</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6  work with others to solve different parts of the problem at the same time</td>
<td>15 does more than one thing at the same time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7  look how information can be collected, stored, and analyzed to help solve the problem</td>
<td>16 uses messages to talk with different parts of the program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8  create a solution where steps can be repeated (8)</td>
<td>17 can store, update, and retrieve values</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9  create a solution where some steps are done only in certain situations (9)</td>
<td>18 uses custom blocks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Source of New Measures: Bebras Challenge

- **Bebras Challenge**
  - *Bebras* is an international initiative aiming to promote computer science (computing) and computational thinking among school students grades 1-12
  - *Bebras* challenges consist of a set of short problems called “Bebras tasks” and are delivered online
  - A challenge has two types of tasks:
    - Multiple-choice questions
    - Interactive problems

https://www.bebras.org/?q=goodtask
**Bebras Challenge: Example Item**

Combining Card A and Card B, you get Card C:

Card A  
Card B  
Card C

Question: How many black cells will Card F have after combining Card D and Card E?
Most Used Measure of CS Proficiency

- *Advanced Placement Computer Science Principles Exam*
  - Exam assesses student understanding of the computational thinking practices and learning objectives outlined in the AP –CS Principles course framework.

- Exam includes the Create performance task (program coding) and the End of Course multiple choice exam
  - The Create performance task requires at least 12 hours of dedicated class time for students to complete. The end-f-course exam is 2 hours long and includes 70 multiple-choice questions.
### Existing Validated Measures of CS Proficiency

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Level</th>
<th>Measure</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Preschool</td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Elementary</td>
<td><strong>Dr. Scratch</strong> (Moreno-León et al., 2015). Analytical tool that evaluates Scratch projects in a variety of computational areas (Abstraction, parallelization, logical thinking, synchronization, flow control, user interactivity, and data representation). <a href="https://www.scratch.org">Scratch</a> is a block-based visual programming language and website targeting primarily children to help them learn code by creating online projects using a block-like interface.**</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bebras Challenge tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project Challenge tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle</td>
<td>Bebras Challenge tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project Challenge tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High school</td>
<td>AP-Computer Science Principles Exam ((ETS))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bebras Challenge tasks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Project Challenge tasks</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Project Quantum: Item Pool for New Measure Development

- Collection of computing quizzes
- Crowd sourcing a bank of high-quality multiple-choice questions for assessing computing in schools
- Items in three elements of computing:
  - Computer science (foundations)
  - Information technology (applications)
  - Digital literacy (implications)
- For every question in the item bank, data are provided on how many students have answered this question, how many chose each answer (correct and incorrect), and what explanations they gave

https://diagnosticquestions.com/Quantum
Which of the following is an example of a decomposition of a bus?

A. Coach, double decker, minibus
B. Capacity, livery colour, air-condition, cost
C. Door, seats, bell, window
D. Route, frequency, ticket price, company
Which of the following is an example of a decomposition of a bus?

I think this because... (your explanation will be seen by your teacher)

I am just guessing

Student selects “A” and explains why: “Because they are all types of buses.”
AbstractDecompPattern Q1

2/10

Student sees # correct out of 10 items
### Project Quantum CS: Example Student Explanations

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Students Who Chose Correct Response</th>
<th>Students Who Chose “A”</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I think this because decomposition means taking apart and doors and seatbelts are all things in a bus</td>
<td>Because if we brake it into chunks, these are the parts of a bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think this because you are breaking things into smaller chunks</td>
<td>Because those word are the word they normaly call a bus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think this because they are in all different places around the bus not next together and I think that decomposition means breaking something down or moving the position so that all the things in this answer are in different places</td>
<td>I think this because I think decomposition means breaking big problems into smaller problems so a double-decker bus go into a coach and a coach into a minibus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I think this because there is a door inside of the bus, chairs are also a luxury inside of a bus. Also you have to use a bell to mark an area or destination you want to get off at</td>
<td>I think this because those are different kinds of buses broken down</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Gaps/Challenges in CS/CT Measure Development

- Computer science proficiency
  - Challenge of measuring coding skills in cost-efficient way
- Computational thinking and computer science proficiency
  - Need for measures that can be used with neuro-diverse students
  - Need to validate measures on subgroups of special focus (e.g., English language learners)
Student and Teacher Attitudes and Beliefs About STEM, CS, and CT
Role of Mediators in Achieving Student Learning

- Mediators capture changes in student and teacher attitudes/beliefs that precede improved CS/CT skills
  - How important are these mediators in the theory of change?
  - Do these changes make it more likely that students will learn new skills?

- Potential Mediators
  - Student interest/engagement in STEM/CS
  - Student and teacher sense of self-efficacy
  - Student belief in importance of STEM/CS for future career and college options
State of Student Measures

- Large number of measures on different facets of students’ attitudes and beliefs
  - Interest in / Intentions to learn STEM/CS
  - Career interest in STEM/CS
  - Sense of self-efficacy about CS, CT, coding
## Existing Surveys on Student Attitudes/Beliefs Towards STEM

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Measure</th>
<th>Grade Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Upper Elementary School and Middle/High School Student Attitudes Toward STEM (S-STEM) Surveys</strong> (Faber et al., 2013)</td>
<td>☑ ☑ ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Adapted Middle School Students’ Attitudes to Mathematics, Science, and Engineering Survey</strong> (Hirsch et al., 2007)</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Math and Science Engagement Scales</strong> (Wang et al., 2016)</td>
<td>☑ ☑ ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>STEM Career Interest Survey (C-SIS)</strong> (Kier et al., 2013)</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Attitudes Towards STEM – Upper Elementary</strong> (Friday Institute, 2012)</td>
<td>☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Attitudes Towards STEM – Middle/High School</strong> (Friday Institute, 2012)</td>
<td>☑ ☑ ☑</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Attitudes Toward Science, Technology, Engineering, and Math (S-STEM)</strong> (Unfried et al., 2015)</td>
<td>☑ ☑ ☑</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
# Existing Surveys on Student Attitudes/Beliefs Towards Computer Science

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Survey Measure</th>
<th>Grade Level</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer Attitude Measure for Young Students</strong> (CAMYS) (Asil et al., 2008)</td>
<td>K-5 ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Elementary Student Coding Attitudes Survey</strong> (Mason &amp; Rich, 2019)</td>
<td>6-8 ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attitudes Towards Computing Scale</strong> (Wanzer et al., 2019)</td>
<td>9-12 ✓</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Computer Science Interest Survey</strong> (Blouin, 2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Attitudes About Computers and Computer Science</strong> (Drobnis, 2010)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Student Computer Science Attitude Survey: CS Principles</strong> (Haynie, 2017)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Interest, Confidence, and Intentions to Learn Computing</strong> (Weston et al., 2019)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
State of Teacher CS Measures

- Not a highly developed field in CS education
- Research in other areas of STEM (esp. science) point to the definition, relevance, and significance of measurement targets such as:
  - Content knowledge/Subject matter knowledge (Arzi & White, 2004; Gess-Newsome & Lederman, 1995)
  - Pedagogical knowledge (Grossman, 1990)
  - Pedagogical content knowledge (Schulman, 1986)
Theoretical Framework for Teacher CS Measures

- CS education represents a novel undertaking for many teachers, raising the importance of attitudes, beliefs, and dispositions as mediators to reform.
- Decades of findings in science (esp. K-5) have shown avoidance (Harlen, 1997), low self-efficacy (Jones & Levin, 1994), and epistemological issues (Lederman, 1992), with a generalist population teaching a less familiar, less accountable content area at scale.
- Robust theoretical frameworks and applications point to worthy measurement targets in the area of teacher attitudes, beliefs, and dispositions.
  - Social Identity Theory (Gee, 2000-2001; Avraamidou, 2016 edited volume)
  - Self-Efficacy (Bandura, 1997; Deehan, 2017 review of STEBI findings)
  - Social Cognitive Career Theory (Lent, Brown, & Hackett, 1994; Roller et al., 2020 SCCT STEM instrument)
Existing Measures of Teacher CS Attitudes and Beliefs

- **Teacher Efficacy and Attitudes Toward STEM (T-STEM) Survey** (Friday Institute, 2012)
  - Confidence and self-efficacy in STEM subject content and teaching, use of technology in the classroom, 21st century learning skills, leadership attitudes, and STEM career awareness

- **Teacher Beliefs about Coding and Computational Thinking (TBaCCT) Scale** (Rich, Larsen, & Mason, 2020)
  - Teacher self-efficacy for coding, computing, and computational thinking
Existing Measures of Teacher CS Pedagogical Knowledge

- **Computer Science Pedagogical Content Knowledge Instrument** (Yadav & Bergs, 2019)

```
1  PEDAGOGICAL CONTENT KNOWLEDGE INSTRUMENT

PCK1:
Phil has worked on the following Scratch example and when you ask him about the value ‘b’, he suggested that b would be 20.

How would you help Phil understand this code segment?
I would...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PCK1.1:</th>
<th>Definitely Will</th>
<th>Probably Will</th>
<th>Probably Will Not</th>
<th>Definitely Will Not</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>...explain to Phil what happens in the code.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...ask Phil questions until he recognizes his error.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...explain to Phil how and when variables change.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>...ask Phil about his intentions when writing the code.</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
<td>○</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
```
Leveraging EIR to Advance the STEM/CS/CT Field
Disseminating New Measures

- CSEdResearch.org hosts instruments, for sharing with proper attribution
- EIR projects are developing potentially promising surveys and protocols
- Researchers and evaluators in the broader educational community (particularly in CS) can benefit from your work
- Submit by emailing monica@cstedresearch.org or visiting https://www.cstedresearch.org/submit-to-repository/
Questions
Resources

Computer Science and Computational Thinking. EIR Small Group Workshops. Abt Associates. July 2020

Will be uploaded on same site as conference webinar slides.

www.abtassociates.com
Contact

EIR Evaluation TA Team
EIREvalTA@AbtAssoc.com

www.abtassociates.com