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Expectations for Mid-phase and Expansion Grants for Scaling

As part of their design for their scale-up evaluation EIR evaluation plans describe:

- Scale-up goals
- Challenges to scaling
- Strategies to mitigate challenges / achieve goals
- How they will measure implementation of strategies
Four Themes of Scale-up Goals in Grants’ Design Plan

- Cost-efficient intervention
- Sufficient organizational capacity to implement at scale
- Expansion to new populations of students
- Sustainability of model
Strategies to lower the cost of implementation at scale:

1. Transfer program functions to districts: teacher PD, teacher coaching/facilitation
2. Technology to support teacher PD/program implementation
3. Produce materials/resources in bulk
4. Create training hubs to support local staff to provide PD, coaching
Strategies to increase organizational capacity of program to implement at scale:

1. Create regional program hubs for recruitment, supports for implementing schools, regional presence
2. Create national groups/structures to convene to promote, share lessons on implementing model
Strategies to Achieve Scale-up Goals: Expansion to New Populations

- Strategies to support expansion of populations targeted by intervention:
  1. Developing curriculum/materials/PD for new grades
  2. Developing curriculum/materials/PD for specific populations, e.g., ELLs
Strategies to revise content of intervention:

1. Improving instructional strategies in model through small-scale “lab” testing
2. Making online components usable on all types of computers/platforms
Strategies to support sustainability of intervention model:

1. Developing mentor schools to support new schools to implement model
2. Involving districts in planning and coordination
3. Promoting local partnerships to sustain model
Expectations for EIR Scale-up Studies

- Mid-phase and Expansion grants are expected to:
  - "Test new strategies to support effective/cost-efficient implementation at scale in heterogeneous populations/settings"
  - Define and measure progress towards scaling their intervention

- Research question for scale-up study:
  - Are the project scale-up strategies being implemented successfully in the current project?
How Impact Studies Intersect with Scale-up Studies

- Scale-up studies identify strategies to address challenges and make progress towards goals, and measure the extent to which scale-up strategies are successfully implemented.

- Impact studies measure the effective of the intervention – the intervention being tested may:
  - Be tested at scale
  - Be tested on a scaled-up version of the intervention
  - Be the current version of the intervention (not at scale, not a scaled-up version)

(These are defined in the next slide)
Testing At Scale, A Scaled-up Version, or the Current Version

- What testing “at scale” means in EIR: *Impact study is based on implementation sample that is…*
  - Multi-state (mid-phase), multi-region, or national,
  - Heterogeneous in terms of types of schools and students, or
  - Representative of the full set of grades that intervention will claim to be appropriate for

- Testing a scaled-up version means:
  - Estimating the impact a version of the intervention in which newly implemented strategies for scaling are being used for the first time

- Testing the current version means:
  - Estimating the impact the current, pre-scale-up, intervention while developing and testing new scale-up strategies
Research Questions Posed by Scale-up and Impact Studies

Scale-up study research question:

- Are the project scale-up strategies implemented successfully in the current project?

Impact study research question:

- What is the effect of…
  - … the intervention implemented at scale relative to business as usual?
  - … the scaled-up version of the intervention relative to: business as usual (or relative to the pre-scale-up version)?
  - … the intervention (not at scale, not the scaled-up version) relative to business as usual?
Measurement: The Tale of Four Scale-ups

- **The Pre-K Mathematics Intervention [FY2018 Expansion grant]**
  - Evaluator: Jaime Thomas, Format Consulting

- **Rural Early College Network (RECN) [FY2018 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Eric Grebing, SERVE at University of North Carolina

- **Scaling and Sustaining Effective Teacher Professional Development for Secondary Schools Using MyTeachingPartner–Secondary (MTP-S) [FY2017 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Jane Coggshall, AIR

- **Helping Early Readers Obtain Excellence in Special Education (HEROES) [FY2019 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Linda Caswell, Abt Associates; Grantee: Jerry D’Agostino, Ohio State University
Pre-K Mathematics: Intervention and Impact Study

- **Pre-K Mathematics** is a multicomponent supplementary math curriculum for pre-kindergarten (pre-K) children

- Key components of the curricular intervention:
  - Common Core-aligned mathematics content with classroom and at-home activities
  - Teacher and trainer (local coach) professional development

- Impact study design:
  - RCT with randomization of pre-K classes within public pre-K programs and within Head Start programs

- Key outcomes:
  - Math achievement (ECLS-B Mathematics Assessment)
# Pre-K Mathematics: Scale-up Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Measurement of Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expand previously successful state-level intervention to a national</td>
<td>1) Pre-K teachers’ insufficient preparation to teach mathematics effectively</td>
<td>1) Establish national network of 4 regional training centers</td>
<td>1) Yes/No: Were 4 regional networks established?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>scale</td>
<td>2) No ready-made manipulatives for <em>Pre-K Mathematics</em> activities</td>
<td>2) Make commercially produced materials kits available to teachers</td>
<td>2) Yes/No: Were sufficient materials kits produced?</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3) Plans needed to determine how programs will cover start-up costs and</td>
<td>3) Consult with state education agencies and Office of Head Start to create generic plans</td>
<td>3) Yes/No: Were generic plans created for Head Start and each state served by regional</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>schedule curriculum roll-out</td>
<td></td>
<td>training centers?</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Pre-K Mathematics: Impact Study and Scale-Up

- This grant estimates the effect of the intervention implemented at scale by testing a scaled-up version of the intervention and forming a study sample that reflects the national population of low-income pre-K students.

- Grantee is scaling up the intervention by creating:
  - Regional teacher training centers
  - Vendor-produced curriculum materials
Pre-K Mathematics: Impact Study and Scale-Up

- Grantee is implementing *Pre-K Mathematics* at a national scale
  - Purposively selecting sites to reflect the national population of low-income pre-K students in terms of race/ethnicity and urbanicity
  - Challenge: No national sampling frame for pre-K students
    - In particular, no national database for state-funded pre-K programs
  - Solution: Obtain data on target population from Head Start Program Information Report (PIR) and Common Core of Data (CCD)
  - Solution: Recruit programs within different regions (West, Midwest, Southeast, Northeast) across two cohorts
Measurement: The Tale of Four Scale-ups

- **The Pre-K Mathematics Intervention [FY2018 Expansion grant]**
  - Evaluator: Jaime Thomas, Format Consulting

- **Rural Early College Network (RECN) [FY2018 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Eric Grebing, SERVE at University of North Carolina

- **Scaling and Sustaining Effective Teacher Professional Development for Secondary Schools Using MyTeachingPartner—Secondary (MTP-S) [FY2017 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Jane Coggshall, AIR

- **Helping Early Readers Obtain Excellence in Special Education (HEROES) [FY2019 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Linda Caswell, Abt Associates; Grantee: Jerry D’Agostino, Ohio State University
**RECN: Intervention and Impact Study**

- The project will establish a Rural Early College Network (RECN) to help rural Indiana schools more quickly implement the Early College (EC) model with fidelity.

- Key components of intervention:
  - PD / coaching
  - Plans for early college enhancements
  - Pathways and work-based learning
  - *School to school mentoring*
  - *Support for dual credit teacher credentialing*

- Impact study design:
  - RCT with randomization of students for within-school, early high school outcomes
  - QED with matching for between-school, later in high school outcomes

- Key outcomes:
  - Attendance, college preparatory course completion, college credits, PSAT scores, postsecondary enrollment
## RECN: Scale-up Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Measurement of Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expand to more rural districts with 6 additional endorsed programs</td>
<td>Rural districts have unique challenges – smaller staff sizes, less access to higher ed campuses, fewer local employers</td>
<td>1) Support for mentor schools; building the capacity for new mentor schools</td>
<td>1) Mentor schools developed plans to improve program (Y/N); School received support from grantee (Y/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>More teachers credentialed to teach dual credit courses</td>
<td>Access to teachers with credentials is highly dependent on the locality; accessing graduate coursework can be a challenge in rural areas</td>
<td>2) Partnership facilitation and policy advocacy</td>
<td>2) Grantee facilitated partnerships (Y/N); Policy advocacy (Y/N)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sustain and scale up rural early college beyond the grant</td>
<td>The early college model is complex and requires knowledge and support to implement well with students in the target population (underserved, first-generation)</td>
<td>3) Formation/facilitation of rural education networks in Indiana</td>
<td>3) Collaborative for Rural Education met at least two times per year; School’s district representative attended at least two meetings</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RECN: Impact Study and Scale-Up

- This grant estimates effect of the intervention at scale by estimating effect of intervention in rural schools.

- The RCT impact study will also inform practices for scaling to new schools beyond the grant period – local variations in supports provided to 9th grade cohorts.

- There is also a connection to the cost study by addressing the question, How much funding is required to open and operate a successful early college program?
Measurement: The Tale of Four Scale-ups

- **The Pre-K Mathematics Intervention [FY2018 Expansion grant]**
  - Evaluator: Jaime Thomas, Format Consulting

- **Rural Early College Network (RECN) [FY2018 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Eric Grebing, SERVE at University of North Carolina

- **Scaling and Sustaining Effective Teacher Professional Development for Secondary Schools Using MyTeachingPartner–Secondary (MTP-S) [FY2017 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Jane Coggshall, AIR

- **Helping Early Readers Obtain Excellence in Special Education (HEROES) [FY2019 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Linda Caswell, Abt Associates; Grantee: Jerry D’Agostino, Ohio State University
MTP-S: Intervention and Impact Study

- MTP-S is an individualized video-based teacher coaching program designed to improve the quality of teacher-student interactions and thereby foster student engagement and academic achievement.

- Key components of two-year intervention:
  - Annual preparatory teacher workshops (3 hours total)
  - Coaching cycles (6–10 cycles/year, ~21–35 hours total)

- Impact study design:
  - RCT with assignment of teachers to treatment and control conditions

- Key outcomes:
  - Quality of teacher-student interaction and class engagement; Student ELA and math achievement
# MTP-S: Scale-up Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Measurement of Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Increase the use of the intervention to reach more teachers and students</td>
<td>Districts prefer to use local, district- or school-based instructional coaches rather than outsource coaching to external, remote vendors Variation in local coach capacity</td>
<td>Guide district selection of coaches Train coaches and require CLASS-S certification Provide ongoing monitoring and support of coaches</td>
<td>Coaches hired with correct qualifications (Y/N) Coaches trained to certification level (Y/N) Coach specialist conducts monthly quality reviews/ individual check-ins (H/M/L) Coach attendance at group videoconference support sessions (H/M/L) Coach confidence in knowledge and skills (H/M/L)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
This grant estimates effect of the intervention when scaled up using local coaches.

Teachstone is providing scaling supports for local staff in heterogeneous high needs districts (both large & small, urban & rural).

Table: Sample distribution (Cohort 1 – anticipated Cohort 3):

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>School Characteristics</th>
<th>Average</th>
<th>Std. Dev.</th>
<th>Min</th>
<th>Max</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Enrollment</td>
<td>1295.4</td>
<td>616.8</td>
<td>365.0</td>
<td>2963.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Minority (non-white)</td>
<td>89.6%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>30.6%</td>
<td>100.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>% Eligible for FRL</td>
<td>80.2%</td>
<td>13.8%</td>
<td>45.6%</td>
<td>99.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proficiency in Reading</td>
<td>40.3%</td>
<td>21.6%</td>
<td>7.0%</td>
<td>89.0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proficiency in Math</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>16.2%</td>
<td>2.0%</td>
<td>68.0%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Measurement: The Tale of Four Scale-ups

- **The Pre-K Mathematics Intervention [FY2018 Expansion grant]**
  - Evaluator: Jaime Thomas, Format Consulting

- **Rural Early College Network (RECN) [FY2018 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Eric Grebing, SERVE at University of North Carolina

- **Scaling and Sustaining Effective Teacher Professional Development for Secondary Schools Using MyTeachingPartner–Secondary (MTP-S) [FY2017 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Jane Coggshall, AIR

- **Helping Early Readers Obtain Excellence in Special Education (HEROES) [FY2019 Mid-phase grant]**
  - Evaluator: Linda Caswell, Abt Associates; Grantee: Jerry D’Agostino, Ohio State University
**HEROES: Intervention and Impact Study**

- An intervention that provides evidence-based reading instruction to children between the ages of 6 and 9 who have been identified as needing special education services for reading.

- **Key components of intervention:**
  - Training for special education teachers through two graduate-level courses
  - Intensified instruction, including one-on-one lessons, differentiated instruction, structured lesson components, weekly progress monitoring
  - Coaching for special education teachers

- **Impact study design:**
  - RCT with randomization of teachers

- **Key outcomes:**
  - Increased reading achievement and reduction in intensity of IEPs
# HEROS: Scale-up Study

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Goals</th>
<th>Challenges</th>
<th>Strategies</th>
<th>Measurement of Strategies</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Expand to multiple regions</td>
<td>1) Scaling to multiple districts while ensuring high-quality training and adequate support for each district/school/teacher</td>
<td>Expand through the hub model</td>
<td>Number of new districts, schools, teachers affiliated with each of four hubs. Number of new coaches to promote intervention</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Create online data portal</td>
<td>2) Tracking student growth and performance and ensuring implementation fidelity efficiently across multiple districts</td>
<td>Create data portal for tracking</td>
<td>Proportion of teachers who track student growth with the new system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Develop network and online resource center</td>
<td>3) Implementing continuous improvement strategies despite practical constraints</td>
<td>Develop network and online resource center</td>
<td>Proportion of teachers accessing online materials and participating in network activities</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Refine intervention through research and development</td>
<td></td>
<td>Engage in continuous improvement</td>
<td>Conduct R&amp;D lab studies to improve intervention</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
External evaluation estimates effect of the intervention at a larger scale and with a more diverse student population and more diverse geography

- Testing at scale? Yes, sampled schools will represent a full array of population diversity, including more states, urban, rural, suburban schools, and EL students

- Testing scaled-up version? Yes, the treatment will be the scaled-up version without modifications. Will hold treatment constant over four evaluation cohorts.
Reflections on EIR Scale-Up Studies

- Should the EIR scale-up studies be addressing additional questions?
- Is it realistic to think that the EIR program will identify models that can really be scaled up to a national level?
- How could EIR scale-up study results help inform the field?
- Should Expansion grants be required to include a national study?
- Should factors potentially affecting implementation fidelity at scale be measured formatively during implementation?
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