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Monitoring Process
The Office of Rural, Insular, and Native Achievement Programs (RINAP) is committed to supporting States and local educational agencies (LEAs) as they implement Federal grant programs. Part of this commitment includes a monitoring process designed to not only address the RINAP’s responsibilities for fiscal and programmatic oversight, but to also identify areas in which LEAs need assistance and support to meet their goals and obligations. The monitoring process is anchored around ongoing conversations between RINAP program officers and grantees, and is conducted using both off-site (desk) monitoring, as well as on-site monitoring visits to grantees.

The goal of the monitoring process is to conduct a program-centered, performance-focused review of the Small Rural School Achievement Program (SRSA) (section 5211 et al. of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965, as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESEA)) through a single, streamlined process that results in improved and strengthened partnerships between the United States Department of Education (the Department) and LEAs. To accomplish this, the RINAP monitoring process is organized into specific grant performance topics, which reflect the programmatic and fiscal requirements of the SRSA program.

Monitoring Report
This monitoring report summarizes the results of the November 13, 2019 RINAP desk monitoring and review of Highmore-Harrold School District #34-2 (Highmore-Harrold). The report is based on information provided during that review and other relevant qualitative data. The primary goal of monitoring is to ensure that implementation of the SRSA program is consistent with the fiscal, administrative, and select program requirements contained in the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements for Federal Awards (Uniform Guidance: 2 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) Part 200), the Education Department General Administrative Requirements (EDGAR), and the ESEA.1

---

1The RINAP office has chosen to focus only on fiscal and select program requirements applicable, as well as the uniform administrative requirements and general management systems of LEAs. Because this report summarizes the results of a non-comprehensive set of ESEA compliance requirements, the issuance of this report does not preclude Department program offices, or independent auditors, from identifying areas of noncompliance that are not outlined in this report.
Section I: LEA Overview
In Section I, RINAP includes relevant LEA background information as a way of providing context for the review conversation. All data presented in Section I are reported by grantees to either the National Center for Education Statistics (NCES), Common Core of Data (CCD), or through standard oversight activities.

Section II: Performance Assessment
The information provided in Section II is intended to help an LEA quickly assess whether there are sufficient capacities, infrastructure, and resources allocated to LEA activities by area, in a manner that enables the LEA to achieve its strategic goals for the reviewed Federal program. The section provides the Department’s rating of performance on grant administration of the applicable Title V, Part B program in school year 2019-2020. Each rating reflects how an LEA is addressing fiscal and program requirements in a particular area of grant administration. The Department’s analysis for each area is primarily based on evidence submitted by the LEA in the form of answers to the self-assessment questions, documents submitted by the LEA prior to the monitoring, and the responses provided to questions during monitoring interviews. RINAP’s rating is also informed by evidence collected through public sources and other components of the monitoring process.

Ratings are based on a four-point scale, for which “met requirements with commendation” represents high-quality implementation where the grantee is exceeding expectations; “met requirements” indicates that work is of an acceptable quality and the grantee is meeting expectations; “met requirements with recommendations” indicates there are quality implementation concerns and some improvements could be made to ensure the grantee continues to meet expectations; and “action required” indicates there are significant compliance or quality concerns that require urgent attention by the LEA and will be revisited until the LEA has remedied the issue.

Section III: Met Requirements with Commendation
This section highlights the areas where the LEA has exceeded requirements and is commended on the grant administration and fiscal management as identified in Section II of this report (i.e., those areas categorized as “met requirements with commendation”). In addition, this section provides an opportunity for the RINAP office to highlight those areas where the LEA has implemented an innovative or highly successful system or approach. In these areas, the Department is not recommending or requiring the LEA to take any further action.

Section IV: Met Requirements
This section identifies those areas where the Department has determined that the LEA has met basic requirements of grant administration and fiscal management and is implementing those requirements in a satisfactory manner as identified in Section II of this report (i.e., those areas categorized as satisfactory quality, “met requirements”). The description of satisfactory implementation by relevant area and requirement is an indication of an acceptable implementation quality level. In these areas, the Department is not recommending or requiring the LEA to take any further action.
Section V: Met Requirements with Recommendations

This section identifies those areas where the Department has quality implementation concerns related to grant administration and fiscal management as identified in Section II of this report (i.e., those areas categorized as quality concerns, “met requirements with recommendations”). In these instances, the Department is determining that the LEA is currently complying with requirements, but that improvements could be made to improve the efficiency or effectiveness of operations. Identified issues are grouped according to relevant area and requirement, with citations provided. For each issue listed, the Department will provide a recommendation for improvement, but is not requiring the LEA to take any further action.

Section VI: Action Required

This section identifies those areas where the Department has “significant compliance and quality concerns” (corresponds to “action required” in Section II). For those issues the Department will outline the current practice, the nature of noncompliance, and the required action. Documentation of required action must be provided to the Department within thirty (30) business days of the receipt of the final Monitoring Report.
Overview

**COVERED GRANT PROGRAMS**
TITLE V, PART B – SMALL, RURAL SCHOOL ACHIEVEMENT PROGRAM

**STUDENT CHARACTERISTICS**
Average Daily Attendance: 249

**SCHOOL & LEA CHARACTERISTICS**
Schools: 3
Per-Pupil Expenditures: $11,157
FTE Teachers: 26

**SMALL, RURAL SCHOOL ACHIEVEMENT FUNDING**
Fiscal Year 2019: $4,619

---

**Monitoring Information**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Monitoring Date</th>
<th>November 13, 2019</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Reviewers</td>
<td>Staci Cummins, Lead Patricia Randall, Co-Lead</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---
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## SECTION II

Grant Administration and Fiscal Management Evaluation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment Criteria Key</th>
<th>Met requirements with commendation</th>
<th>Met requirements</th>
<th>Met requirements with recommendation</th>
<th>Action required</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assessment</th>
<th>Financial Management</th>
<th>Period of Availability</th>
<th>Use of Funds</th>
<th>Audit Requirements</th>
<th>Equipment and Supplies Management</th>
<th>Personnel</th>
<th>Procurement</th>
<th>Indirect Costs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td>C</td>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
<td>G</td>
<td>H</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td>● ● ● ●</td>
<td>● ● ● ●</td>
<td>● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td>● ● ● ● ●</td>
<td>● ● ● ●</td>
<td>● ● ● ●</td>
<td>● ● ● ● •</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>REQUIREMENT SUMMARY</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N/A</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Met Requirements

D. Audit Requirements

☑️ DESCRIPTION OF SATISFACTORY IMPLEMENTATION

Highmore-Harrold does not expend more than $750,000 in Federal funding in a given fiscal year and as a result, is not required to have an audit in accordance with the requirements established in the Uniform Guidance.

REQUIREMENT SUMMARY

An LEA that expends greater than $750,000 in Federal funding in a given fiscal year is required to have an audit conducted in accordance with the requirements established in the Uniform Guidance. Completed audits must be submitted within the earlier of 30 calendar days after receipt of the auditors’ report or nine months after the end of the audit period. An LEA must promptly follow up and take corrective action on all audit findings.

E. Equipment and Supplies Management

**REQUIREMENT SUMMARY**

An LEA shall use, manage and dispose of equipment and supplies purchased using Federal funds in accordance with all relevant Federal laws and procedures. LEAs shall also ensure that equipment and supplies are used only for authorized purposes of the project during the period of performance (or until no longer needed).

Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. 200.313-314
GAO Green Book Principle 10.03

**DESCRIPTION OF SATISFACTORY IMPLEMENTATION**

Highmore-Harrold does not purchase equipment and supplies using Federal funds. Nevertheless, Highmore-Harrold demonstrated compliance with equipment and supplies management rules by describing the physical inventory process, which includes a fixed asset schedule for all goods. The inventory process is completed annually, and all disposals are routed through the Highmore-Harrold School Board for approval.
F. Personnel

![Checkmark]

**REQUIREMENT SUMMARY**

An LEA shall ensure that charges to Federal awards for salaries are based on records that accurately reflect the work performed. These records must be supported by a system of internal controls which provide reasonable assurance that the charges are accurate, allowable, and properly allocated.

*Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. 200.430*

---

**DESCRIPTION OF SATISFACTORY IMPLEMENTATION**

Highmore-Harrold uses SRSA funds to supplement the salary expenses of the school district’s preschool program certified teacher. Highmore-Harrold documented compliance with personnel requirements with the teacher contract, which restricts the duties of the staff member to only preschool-related tasks, and time and effort and payroll documentation. Highmore-Harrold also records the absences of employees via security cameras, annual audits, and annual employee evaluations, which enable the school district to identify and address any personnel issues.
Indirect Costs

**REQUIREMENT SUMMARY**

An LEA shall ensure that indirect costs are only charged at the correct indirect cost rate. LEAs must use a restricted indirect cost rate because of the Supplement, not Supplant provision. An indirect cost is a cost that is incurred for the benefit of the entire organization.

Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. 200.414
EDGAR 34 C.F.R. 75.560-564

**DESCRIPTION OF SATISFACTORY IMPLEMENTATION**

Highmore-Harrold does not charge indirect costs to Federal funds and therefore has no indirect cost rate agreement in place.
Met Requirements with Recommendation

A. Financial Management

**REQUIREMENT SUMMARY**

An LEA (or its agent) must use fiscal control and fund accounting procedures that insure proper disbursement of and accounting for SRSA funds. In general, an LEA must expend and account for Federal funds in accordance with Federal laws and regulations for expending and accounting for Federal funds. In addition, LEA accounting systems must satisfy Federal requirements regarding the ability to track the use of funds and permit the disclosure of financial results. LEAs must also have written procedures for determining cost allowability and must maintain effective control over all funds.

**EDGAR 34 C.F.R. 75.702**

**Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. 200.30**

**ISSUE**

Highmore-Harrold follows standardized processes for fiscal management, budgeting and cash management, and payment processing, which are overseen by the Business Manager. Highmore-Harrold administrators described how SRSA funds have been consistently obligated for preschool payroll, as described in their GEPA statement and documented in the Business Manager’s files. This consistency supports Highmore-Harrold in obligating, liquidating, and tracking SRSA funds in accordance with Federal requirements. The Business Manager reviews all expenditures each month with both the Superintendent and then the School Board. This system allows for any reconciliation of costs and review of errors (for example, miscoding of revenue or expenditures).

Highmore-Harrold’s fiscal control and fund accounting procedures provide a reasonable assurance that SRSA funds are being properly disbursed and accounted for; however, the Business Manager is the only person who has access to the G5 system and thus, the SRSA grant funds.

**RECOMMENDATION**

The Department recommends that Highmore-Harrold designate two officials to have access to the G5 system, including the Business Manager, who currently has access to G5. Designating an additional person would support continuity of Highmore-Harrold’s fiscal operations and ensure uninterrupted access to SRSA funds.
B. Period of Availability

REQUIREMENT SUMMARY

An LEA may only charge a grant program for allowable costs incurred during the period of availability (July 1 – September 30 of the following year) and shall liquidate all obligations incurred under the award not later than 90 calendar days after the end date of the period of availability (December 30 of the following year).

EDGAR 34 C.F.R. 75 C.F.R. 703, 75.707
Uniform Guidance 2 C.F.R. 200.309, 2 C.F.R. 200.343(b)
U.S. Code 31 U.S.C. 1552
Department of Education Guidance Late Liquidation Memoranda

ISSUE

Highmore-Harrold drew down the entirety of SRSA funds in February 2019 after having spent the funds on a preschool teacher salary in October 2018, according to timecard documentation. However, there was an internal accounting issue that put SRSA funds in the general fund instead of the preschool fund. The Business Manager is aware of the accounting issue and it was resolved as of June 2019. Because of the error, Highmore-Harrold moved the SRSA funds into the correct account after the obligated funds had already been expensed.

Highmore-Harrold does not have written procedures for drawdown and payment processes for SRSA expenditures and/or reimbursable expenses. Highmore-Harrold does not have written procedures for drawdown and payment processes for SRSA expenditures and/or reimbursable expenses. Historically, as indicated by G5 Financial Management reports, Highmore-Harrold follows a practice of drawing down the entirety of its SRSA funds and then holding the funds for disbursement and/or reimbursement at a much later time. Under 2 C.F.R. 200.305(b), recipients of Federal funds must minimize the time elapsing between the transfer of funds from the Treasury, and the payout of those funds for federal assistance program purposes.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that Highmore-Harrold develop period of availability procedures, including obligation process and liquidation deadlines for SRSA grant funds, and codify the procedures in school district policies. Adding these SRSA-specific guidelines ensures that future Highmore-Harrold officials will be aware of and adhere to required spending timelines and obligation and liquidation processes, as well as avoid errors in the financial management of SRSA funds.
C. Uses of Funds

REQUIREMENT SUMMARY

An LEA can only use SRSA funds for allowable costs, as defined in the Uniform Administrative Requirements, Cost Principles, and Audit Requirements (2 C.F.R. §200), which include, among other things, the requirement that costs be reasonable and necessary for the accomplishment of program objectives. An LEA must use SRSA funds to supplement, and not supplant, any other Federal, State or local education funds and must use SRSA funds to carry out local activities authorized under any of the following provisions of the ESEA:

- Title I, Part A
- Title II, Part A
- Title III
- Title IV, Part A or B

ESEA §5212, §5232
EDGAR 34 C.F.R. 75.530

ISSUE

Highmore-Harrold provided evidence that SRSA funds are being used for allowable activities in support of program objectives, as established in their GEPA statement. SRSA funds have historically been used to supplement Highmore-Harrold’s preschool program and school district administrators described how they are likely to continue using funds in a similar manner in the future. In this way, Highmore-Harrold only relies on historical precedent for knowing appropriate uses of SRSA funds and does not utilize outside support or policies and procedures of uses of funds, which could result in Highmore-Harrold not remaining up to date with current fund use policies.

RECOMMENDATION

The Department recommends that Highmore-Harrold develop policies and procedures for evaluating allowable uses of SRSA funds. The Department recommends utilizing relevant statutes, guidance, and regulations, and the SRSA-program website for resources and support in developing use of funds policies and procedures. Adding these SRSA-specific guidelines will help ensure that future Highmore-Harrold officials will be aware of and adhere to allowable use of funds requirements.
G. Procurement

**REQUIREMENT SUMMARY**

An LEA shall ensure that all relevant Federal procurement procedures are followed when procuring goods and services using Federal funds.


**ISSUE**

Highmore-Harrold described how it follows State regulations regarding advertising and competition and provided general policies for purchasing and bidding, which includes School Board approval for purchases above $3,000. However, Highmore-Harrold has no written procedures in place to help ensure that the school district follows all relevant State and Federal procurement requirements.

**RECOMMENDATION**

The Department recommends that Highmore-Harrold create a written policies and procedures document that outlines all relevant State and Federal procurement requirements. Such documentation will help ensure that current and future Highmore-Harrold officials will be aware of and consistently adhere to all procurement requirements.
Action Required

N/A

REQUIREMENT SUMMARY
N/A