

# UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

OFFICE OF ELEMENTARY AND SECONDARY EDUCATION

JAN 0 2 2020

The Honorable Randy Watson Commissioner of Education Kansas State Department of Education 900 SW Jackson St. Topeka, KS 66612

Dear Commissioner Watson:

I am writing in response to the Kansas State Department of Education's (KSDE) request on August 21, 2019, for a waiver of section 1111(b)(2)(D)(i)(I) of the Elementary and Secondary Education Act of 1965 (ESEA), as amended by the Every Student Succeeds Act (ESSA), of the requirement that a State may not assess using an alternate assessment aligned with alternate academic achievement standards (AA-AAAS) more than 1.0 percent of the total number of students in the State. KSDE requested this waiver because, based on State data for the 2018-2019 school year, KSDE has concluded that it will need to assess more than 1.0 percent of students using an AA-AAAS in the 2019-2020 school year.

After reviewing KSDE's request, I am granting, pursuant to my authority under section 8401(b) of the ESEA, for school year 2019-2020, a one-year waiver of section 1111(b)(2)(D)(i)(I) of the ESEA so that the State may assess with an AA-AAAS more than 1.0 percent of the total number of students in the State who are assessed in reading/language arts, mathematics, and science.

As part of this waiver, KSDE assured that it:

- Will continue to meet all other requirements of section 1111 of the ESEA and implementing regulations with respect to all State-determined academic standards and assessments, including reporting student achievement and school performance, disaggregated by subgroups, to parents and the public.
- Assessed in the prior school year (2018-2019) at least 95 percent of all students and 95 percent of students with disabilities who are enrolled in grades for which an assessment is required.
- Will require that a local educational agency (LEA) submit information justifying the need of the LEA to assess more than 1.0 percent of its assessed students in any such subject with an AA-AAAS.
- Will provide appropriate oversight of an LEA that is required to submit such information to the State, and it will make such information publicly available.
- Will verify that each LEA that is required to submit such information to the State is following all State guidelines in 34 CFR 200.6(d) (with the exception of incorporating principles of universal design) and will address any subgroup disproportionality in the percentage of students taking an AA-AAAS.

400 MARYLAND AVE., SW, WASHINGTON, DC 20202 http://www.ed.gov/

The Department of Education's mission is to promote student achievement and preparation for global competitiveness by fostering educational excellence and ensuring equal access.

#### Page 2 – The Honorable Randy Watson

• Will implement, consistent with the plan submitted in KSDE's waiver request, system improvements and will monitor future administrations of the AA-AAAS to avoid exceeding the 1.0 percent threshold.

Given the significant work underway in the State as described in the plan you submitted this summer, I expect to see the results of these efforts in the 2019-2020 school year and beyond. Any future requests for an extension of this waiver will be contingent on both continued progress implementing your plan and progress in reducing the percentage of students taking the AA-AAAS in all tested subjects.

In addition, I want to remind you of the requirement in 34 CFR § 200.6(c)(3)(iv) that the State must make publicly available the information submitted by an LEA justifying the need of the LEA to assess more than 1.0 percent of its students on the AA-AAAS, provided that such information does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student. I also encourage you to make available your State's plan and timeline and your progress to date in reducing the percentage of students taking the AA-AAAS.

Finally, in order to help all States support implementation of the 1.0 percent participation threshold for AA-AAAS participation, the Department is supporting work by the National Center on Educational Outcomes (NCEO). I note that Kansas has participated in some of these efforts and encourage your State's continued involvement. In 2019, NCEO has published several resources that may be helpful to stakeholders in your State. They may be found online at https://nceo.info/Assessments/alternate\_assessments.

I appreciate the work you are doing to improve your schools and provide a high-quality education for your students. If you have any questions, please contact my staff at <u>ESEA.Assessment@ed.gov</u>.

Sincerely Frank T. Brogan

Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education

cc: Beth Fultz, Assistant Director of Career Standards and Assessment Services Brad Neuenswander, Deputy Commissioner



# Division of Learning Services

Kansas State Department of Education Landon State Office Building 900 SW Jackson Street, Suite 656 Topeka, Kansas 66612-1212 (785) 296-2303 (785) 291-3791 *- fax* 

www.ksde.org

August 21, 2019

The Honorable Frank Brogan Assistant Secretary for Elementary and Secondary Education United States Department of Education 400 Maryland Ave. SW Washington, D.C. 20202

Dear Assistant Secretary Brogan:

Please find enclosed with this letter Kansas' waiver request pursuant to 34 C.F.R. §200.6 (c)(4). Data analysis indicates submission of a waiver in accordance with 34 C.F.R. §200.6 (c)(4) is warranted for reading/language arts, mathematics, and science for the 2019-2020 school year.

For more information or questions on the attached waiver request for the 2019-2020 school year AA-AAAS 1% participation requirement, please contact Beth Fultz, Assistant Director of Career Standards and Assessment Services for the Kansas State Department of Education at <u>bfultz@ksde.org or 785-296-2325</u>.

Sincerely,

al Massach

Brad Neuenswander Deputy Commissioner

#### KANSAS STATE DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION AA-AAAS 1% THRESHOLD WAIVER REQUEST PURSUANT TO 34 C.F.R. §200.6(c)(4) August 21, 2019

The Kansas State Department of Education (KSDE) has adopted alternate academic achievement standards for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities and measures the achievement of those standards with an alternate assessment aligned with alternate academic achievement standards (AA-AAAS). Federal regulation 34 C.F.R. 200.6(c)(2) requires that, for each subject for which assessments are administered, the total number of students assessed in that subject using an alternate assessment with alternate academic achievement standards may not exceed 1.0 percent of the total number of students in the State who are assessed in that subject.

After reviewing multiple years of data and assessing the initial impact of improvement activities undertaken to ensure all students take the appropriate assessment, KSDE has determined that the Kansas AA-AAAS assessment participation percentage in Reading/Language Arts, mathematics, and science will likely be over 1.0% of the total number of students assessed in those subjects for the 2019-2020 school year. Consequently, KSDE submits this request that the Secretary waive the cap under 34 C.F.R. §200.6(c)(2) for the subjects of Reading/Language Arts, mathematics, and science pursuant to 34 C.F.R. §200.6(c)(4).

KSDE sought stakeholder input on this waiver request from multiple stakeholder groups, as well as, the general public, including the Special Education Advisory Council (SEAC) meeting on Tuesday, July 30, 2019; the ESEA stakeholder group through e-mail dissemination; local district administrators in special education and title services during the KSDE Leadership Conference general session on July 31; parents and families through dissemination by the state's Parent Training and Information Center, Families Together, Inc.; and from the general public through the KSDE SETS website.

Through these opportunities, KSDE did not receive stakeholder input on the plan.

#### State Testing Window

Kansas participates in the Dynamic Learning Maps (DLM) instructionally embedded assessment. Beginning with the 2019-2020 school year reading/language arts and mathematics assessments will consist of two instructionally embedded test windows. Kansas' instructionally embedded assessment for reading/language arts and mathematics has a fall test window (September 9, 2019 – December 20, 2019) and a spring test window (February 3, 2020 – May 15, 2020). The science assessment will continue to be a summative assessment administered during the spring test window (February 3, 2020 – May 15, 2020). The DLM testing schedule for 2019-2020 is located at http://www.ksde.org/Default.aspx?tabid=887.

#### State-level Data on participation in AA-AAAS in subject area by subgroup

Refer to Tables 1, 2, and 3 for Alternate Assessment Participation Rates for reading/language arts, mathematics, and science by subgroups in 2017-2018.

### State-Level Data showing 95% participation overall and for students with disabilities subgroup

Kansas expects all students enrolled in public K-12 schools be assessed with accommodations, without accommodations or with an alternate assessment in Grades 3-8 and high school. Kansas follows the federal participation requirement and, to date has met or exceeded the federal guidelines set at 95%. Refer to Table 4 for participation rates of all students and students with disabilities.

#### State Assurance

By submitting this request, the Kansas State Department of Education assures that it has verified that each LEA that the state anticipates will assess more than 1.0 percent of its assessed students in a subject using the AA-AAAS followed the state's participation guidelines; and will address any disproportionality in the students taking the AA-AAAS.

KSDE has reviewed 2017-2018 data and is reviewing preliminary local district data. Data reflects 124 out of 286 total districts that tested over 1.0 percent of their assessed students on the DLM in one or more subject area, during the 2018-2019 school year. On July 3, 2019, KSDE contacted each LEA that the state anticipated assessed more than 1.0 percent of its assessed students in a subject on the DLM for 2018-2019 to provide written assurances.

The LEA must submit assurances for each of the following five items:

- 1. Individualized Education Program (IEP) teams have correctly identified students with the Most Significant Cognitive Disability. <u>http://www.ksde.org/Default.aspx?tabid=887</u>
- 2. The district has measured the achievement of, at least 95% of all students, including students with disabilities in tested grades.
- Students who will be participating in an alternate assessment receive instruction aligned to the alternate academic achievement standards, the Essential Elements. <u>https://dynamiclearningmaps.org/erp\_ie\_https://dynamiclearningmaps.org/sci\_resources</u>
- 4. Parents are informed of their child's participation in an alternate assessment and implications of participating.
- 5. Addresses any disproportionality in the percentage of students in any subgroup taking the alternate assessment.

To assist districts in determining if disproportionality of students participating in the DLM exists, beginning with 2019 DLM justifications, KSDE will provide districts with risk ratio data for each of the following subgroups: racial and ethnic groups, socio-economic status, migrant, English learner, gender, military connected, homeless, and foster care.

### <u>Plan and timeline for improving the implementation of participation guidelines (and possibly</u> revising definition of *students with the most significant cognitive disabilities*)

The goal of this waiver component is to ensure each student eligible to take a Kansas State Assessment, is administered the appropriate form of the assessment. KSDEs plan and waiver request outlines several key strategies and action steps KSDE has recently taken and will take toward this goal: revising the state's participation guidelines to emphasize distinct criterion, revising the state-adopted definition of students with a most significant cognitive disabilities in the state; providing training, tools, and technical assistance to improve the implementation of the state's participation guidelines; and leveraging the concept of least dangerous assumption with the field.

First, KSDE has updated the DLM participation guidelines and Kansas Alternate Assessment Flow Chart for 2019-20. The 2018-2019 DLM participation guidelines contained *three* criterion that all had to be "yes" for a student to participate in the DLM. Teams also had to ensure that the decision was not based on any of the fourteen not allowed considerations listed on the participation guidelines.

The 2019-2020 DLM participation guidelines now have *four* criterion that all have to be "yes" for a student to participate in the DLM. KSDE separated the previous first criterion into two criteria for 2019-2020 to emphasize the importance of students needing to have significant deficits in adaptive behavior. The four criterion for 2019-2020 are:

- 1. The student has a most significant cognitive disability (intellectual disability).
- 2. The student has significant deficits in adaptive behavior.
- 3. The student is primarily being instructed (or taught) using the Dynamic Learning Maps Essential Elements as content standards.
- 4. The student requires extensive direct individualized instruction and substantial supports to achieve measureable gains in all grade-and age-appropriate curriculum.

KSDE recently added a rubric for determining participation on the Kansas Alternate Assessment (DLM) to assist IEP teams in making appropriate decisions regarding participation in the AA-AAAS for students with a most significant cognitive disability. The updated 2019 Dynamic Learning Maps Participation Guidelines for Kansas, Kansas Alternate Assessment Flow Chart, and Rubric for Determining Participation on the Kansas Alternate Assessment (DLM) are located at <a href="http://www.ksde.org/Default.aspx?tabid=887">http://www.ksde.org/Default.aspx?tabid=887</a>.

During the December 2018 DLM webinar and January 2019 Directors call, KSDE also provided guidance for IEP teams to utilize the Least Dangerous Assumption when making assessment and IEP team decisions.

The Theory of Presuming Competence: Least Dangerous Assumption "...<u>in the absence of conclusive data</u>, educational decisions ought to be based on <u>assumptions which, if incorrect</u>, will have the <u>least dangerous effect</u> on the likelihood that students will be able to function independently as adults. Furthermore, we should assume that poor performance is due to instructional inadequacy rather than to student deficits." Jorgensen, C (2005) The Least Dangerous Assumption A Challenge to Create a New Paradigm. *Disability Solutions*, 6(3), 1, 5-9.

At least quarterly, KSDE staff will continue participation in national consortiums such as the CCSSO-SCASS and/or meetings sponsored by the Department for the purpose of informing state-level policies, practices and/or procedures in relation to the state-level 1.0 percent requirement and identifying enhancements or additions to the technical assistance and tools provided to LEAs in the state. Further, KSDE will continue to disseminate, increase knowledge, and improve systems implementation of the previously described participation guidelines, flow chart, and rubric through the following activities:

- Six statewide and/or regional events scheduled for the months of July and August 2019
- Six DLM webinars are scheduled for the 2019-2020 school year
- Monthly calls for special education administrators, district level administrators, and test coordinators are scheduled throughout 2019-2020.
- Provision of on-site technical assistance and/or professional learning
- Continued online availability of participation guidelines, flow chart and rubric.

#### Plan and timeline for taking steps to support and provide appropriate oversight to districts anticipated to exceed 1.0 percent

KSDE will take additional steps to support and provide appropriate oversight to each LEA that tested more than 1.0 percent of students with AA-AAAS to ensure only students with a most significant cognitive disability take the AA-AAAS. Annually, based upon review of district data, KSDE will request assurances from districts with participation rates of over 1.0 percent of students in one or more subject areas.

Early each December, KSDE will send a letter to districts advising them to review their 2018-2019 DLM participation data available in the KSDE Authenticated Application. If the percentage of students taking the DLM exceeds 1.0 percent in any subject area, the district must complete and submit a justification form to KSDE. Upon receipt, KSDE will assess each district justification and respond, as necessary via letter, phone call, and/or onsite technical assistance.

Each February, KSDE will make these justifications publicly available, provided that such information does not reveal personally identifiable information about an individual student as is required by 34 CFR 200.6(c)(3)(ii) and (iv). Justifications for 2017-2018 are publically available online at: <u>http://www.ksde.org/Default.aspx?tabid=567</u>.

Oversight will also occur by creating and offering a customized data display template for each district. The data will provide KSDE with three years of data on AA-AAAS for each district in Kansas. KSDE will use this data to flag districts who may be using practices which result in students taking an inappropriate form of Kansas State assessment in reading/language arts and /or mathematics.

KSDE staff will analyze variables for students who took the DLM for the 2018-2019 school year. Identified variables include disability categories that are not usually associated with students with a most significant cognitive disability (SLP, SLD, and ED), instructional setting, reading levels, writing skills, computation skills, and performance level for the 2018-2019 assessments. This data is available in the DLM first contact survey and DLM score reports. KSDE staff will then utilize this data analysis to:

- 1. Make personal contact with LEAs whose data reflects variables that show potential red flags.
- 2. Verify that LEAs are using the most up to date DLM participation guidelines, Least Dangerous Assumption, and maximizing available accommodations for the general assessment.
- 3. Provide on-site technical assistance to districts who request and districts who exceed the threshold and have high rates of potential red flags.
- 4. Complete DLM test observations in districts that test over 1.0 percent of students on the DLM and districts that have high rates of potential red flags for their students taking the DLM.

## Plan and timeline for addressing any disproportionality in percentage of students taking the AA-AAAS

Under IDEA, the Kansas Department of Education annually collects data and monitors LEA compliance with Part B indicators on the State Performance Plan. KSDE requires LEA's to review data under IDEA Part B Indicators 4, 9 and 10 to identify and address disproportionality in special education that is a result of inappropriate identifications and analyzes data regarding identification, placement, and discipline for disproportionality through its significant disproportionality work. KSDE is now also examining the data on subgroup participation, as reported in ESSA, on the alternate assessment, to identify and address any disproportionality in the students taking the AA-AAAS. KSDE has been analyzing risk ratio data by subgroup for each subject area for the alternate assessment for 2017-2018. Refer to table 5, 6, 7 for risk ratio by subgroup for Reading/language arts, mathematics, and science for 2017-2018.

KSDE will soon have available and be examining subgroup participation, risk ratio data, as well as, the data on primary exceptionalities participating in the alternate assessment for the 2018-2019 school year.

Building upon the current capacity and work around disproportionality, KSDE will utilize this new data set to:

- 1. Examine the data on subgroup participation, as reported in ESSA, on the alternate assessment.
- 2. Provide data to districts on subgroup participation, as reported in ESSA, on the alternate assessment through the authenticated application used for completing alternate assessment justifications every year.
- 3. Ensure districts will communicate how they address any disproportionality in the justifications.

KSDE sincerely appreciates the opportunity to improve the state system supporting AA-AAAS implementation. KSDE will submit the 2018-2019 state-level participation data to the Department once it has been approved by the state board in October 2019. For more information or questions, please contact Beth Fultz, <u>bfultz@ksde.org</u> Assistant Director of Career Standards and Assessment Services, Division of Learning Services, Kansas State Department of Education.

Student Sub-Group	# Students Participating in Regular Assessment	# Students Participating in Alternate Assessment	Total # Students Assessed	Percentage Participating in Alternate Assessment
All Students	259217	2977	262194	1.14%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2273	20	2293	0.87%
Asian	7241	95	7336	1.29%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
African-American Students	17271	322	17593	1.83%
White	167792	1806	169598	1.06%
Multi-Racial	13462	174	13636	1.28%
Hispanic	50709	552	51261	1.08%
Free and Reduced Lunch	118947	1765	120712	1.46%
Free Lunch only	95215	1471	96686	1.52%
Reduced Lunch only	23732	294	24026	1.22%
Self-Paid Lunch only	140270	1212	141482	0.86%
Migrant				
English Learner Students	24885	94	24979	0.38%
English Learner with Disabilities	3139	88	3227	2.73%
Non-English Learner Students	234332	2883	237215	1.22%
Male	132014	1887	133901	1.41%
Females	127203	1090	128293	0.85%
Military Connected Students	6302	69	6371	1.08%
Homeless	3193	25	3218	0.78%
Foster Care	2599	111	2710	4.10%

Table 1: Alternate Assessment Participation Rates for 2017-2018 for Reading/Language arts

Table 2: Alternate Assessment Participation Rates for 2017-2018 for mathematics

Student Sub-Group	# Students Participating in Regular Assessment	# Students Participating in Alternate Assessment	Total # Students Assessed	Percentage Participating in Alternate Assessment
All Students	260387	3017	263404	1.15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	2276	20	2296	0.87%
Asian	7447	96	7543	1.27%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
African-American Students	17286	323	17609	1.83%
White	167701	1802	169503	1.06%
Multi-Racial	13448	174	13622	1.28%
Hispanic	51750	954	52704	1.81%
Free and Reduced Lunch	119751	1805	121556	1.48%
Free Lunch only	95895	1506	97401	1.55%
Reduced Lunch only	23856	299	24155	1.24%
Self-Paid Lunch only	140636	1212	141848	0.85%
Migrant				
English Learner Students	26437	144	26581	0.54%
English Learner with Disabilities	3217	136	3353	4.06%
Non-English Learner Students	233950	2873	236823	1.21%
Male	132607	1913	134520	1.42%
Females	127780	1104	128884	0.86%
Military Connected Students	6349	69	6418	1.08%
Homeless	3292	24	3316	0.72%
Foster Care	2582	110	2692	4.09%

Table 3: Alternate Assessment Participation Rates for 2017-2018 for science

Student Sub-Group	# Students Participating in Regular Assessment	# Students Participating in Alternate Assessment	Total # Students Assessed	Percentage Participating in Alternate Assessment
All Students	109350	1130	110480	1.02%
American Indian or Alaska Native	943	10	953	1.05%
Asian	3293	31	3324	0.93%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
African-American Students	6948	121	7069	1.71%
White	71695	693	72388	0.96%
Multi-Racial	5334	69	5403	1.28%
Hispanic	20933	205	21138	0.97%
Free and Reduced Lunch	47288	635	47923	1.33%
Free Lunch only	37335	521	37856	1.38%
Reduced Lunch only	9953	114	10067	1.13%
Self-Paid Lunch only	62062	495	62557	0.79%
Migrant				
English Learner Students	10016	49	10065	0.49%
English Learner with Disabilities	1200	47	1247	3.77%
Non-English Learner Students	99334	1081	100415	1.08%
Male	55913	684	56597	1.21%
Females	53437	446	53883	0.83%
Military Connected Students	2238	22	2260	0.97%
Homeless				
Foster Care	1098	33	1131	2.92%

Table 4: Participation Rate of All Students and Students with Disabilities (Grades 3-8 and High School) 2017-2018

Content area	Students tested	Students required to test	Percent achievement measured for all students	Students with disabilities tested	Students with disabilities required to test	Percent achievement measured for students with disabilities
Reading	248,943	251,130	99.13%	33,075	33,870	97.65%
Math	249,110	251,071	99.22%	33,103	33,839	97.82%
Science	104,578	105,796	98.85%	12,873	13,304	96.76%

Target group	Comparison Group	Percentage of Target Group Participating in Alternate Assessment	Percent of comparison group participating in alternate assessment	Risk Ratio
American Indian or Alaska Natives	All other races	0.87%	1.14%	0.766624
Asians	All other races	1.29%	1.13%	1.145166
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islanders	All other races	1.68%	1.13%	1.478405
African-American Students	All other races	1.83%	1.09%	1.686202
Whites	All other races	1.06%	1.26%	0.842039
Multi-Racial	All other races	1.28%	1.13%	1.131532
Hispanics	All other races	1.08%	1.15%	0.936666
Free and Reduced Lunch	All self-paid lunch	1.46%	0.86%	1.70684
Migrants	All non - migrants	0.61%	1.14%	0.536725
English Learner Students	All non-English Learners	0.38%	1.22%	0.309635
Non-English Learner Students	All English Learners	1.22%	0.38%	3.229607
Males	All females	1.41%	0.85%	1.657941
Females	All males	0.85%	1.41%	0.602566
Military Connected Students	All non-military connected students	1.08%	1.14%	0.952767
Homeless	All non- homeless	0.78%	1.14%	0.681549
Foster Care	All non- foster care	4.10%	1.10%	3.708413

# Table 5: Alternate Assessment Risk Ratio for 2017-2018 for Reading/Language arts

Percent of Percentage of comparison **Target Group** group Participating participating Comparison in Alternate in alternate **Target group** Group Assessment **Risk Ratio** assessment American Indian or Alaska All other races 0.87% Native 1.14% 0.766624 Asian All other races 1.27% 1.13% 1.145166 Native Hawaiian or Pacific All other races 1.64% Islander 1.13% 1.478405 African-American Students All other races 1.83% 1.09% 1.686202 White All other races 1.06% 1.26% 0.842039 Multi-Racial All other races 1.28% 1.13% 1.131532 Hispanic All other races 1.81% 1.15% 0.936666 Free and Reduced Lunch All self-paid 1.48% 0.86% 1.70684 lunch Migrant All non -0.57% 1.14% 0.536725 migrants **English Learner Students** All non-English 0.54% Learners 1.22% 0.309635 Non-English Learner Students All English 1.21% Learners 0.38% 3.229607 All females Male 1.42% 0.85% 1.657941 All males Females 0.86% 1.41% 0.602566 All non-military Military Connected Students 1.08% connected 1.14% 0.952767 students Homeless All non-0.72% 1.14% 0.681549 homeless Foster Care All non- foster 4.09% 1.10% 3.708413 care

#### Table 6: Alternate Assessment Risk Ratio for 2017-2018 for mathematics

Target group	Comparison Group	Percentage of Target Group Participating in Alternate Assessment	Percent of comparison group participating in alternate assessment	Risk Ratio
American Indian or Alaska Native	All other races	1.05%	1.02%	1.026149
Asian	All other races	0.93%	1.03%	0.909326
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	All other races	0.49%	1.02%	0.476463
African-American Students	All other races	1.71%	0.98%	1.754296
White	All other races	0.96%	1.15%	0.834486
Multi-Racial	All other races	1.28%	1.01%	1.264755
Hispanic	All other races	0.97%	1.04%	0.936707
Free and Reduced Lunch	All self-paid lunch	1.33%	0.79%	1.674559
Migrant	All non - migrants	0.35%	1.03%	0.342491
English Learner Students	All non-English Learners	0.49%	1.08%	0.452226
Non-English Learner Students	All English Learners	1.08%	0.49%	2.211285
Male	All females	1.21%	0.85%	1.421817
Females	All males	0.83%	1.41%	0.587035
Military Connected Students	All non-military connected students	0.97%	1.02%	0.950784
Homeless	All non- homeless	0.47%	1.03%	0.459399
Foster Care	All non- foster care	2.92%	1.00%	2.908436

Student Sub-Group	# Students Participating in Regular Assessment	# Students Participating in Alternate Assessment	Total # Students Assessed	Percentage Participating in Alternate Assessment	
All Students	247,269	2877	250,146	1.15%	
American Indian or Alaska Native	1988	23	2,011	1.14%	
Asian	6933	83	7,016	1.18%	
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander					
African-American Students	17105	297	17,402	1.71%	
White	157092	1738	158,830	1.09%	
Multi-Racial	13357	178	13,535	1.32%	
Hispanic	50304	551	50,855	1.08%	
Free and Reduced Lunch	116570	1676	118,246	1.42%	
Free Lunch only	93086	1392	94,478	1.47%	
Reduced Lunch only	23484	284	23,768	1.19%	
Self-Paid Lunch only	130699	1201	131,900	0.91%	
Migrant	1427	14	1,441	0.97%	
English Learner Students	22628	131	22,759	0.58%	
English Learner with Disabilities	3399	129	3,528	3.66%	
Non-English Learner Students	243,870	2,748	246,618	1.11%	
Male	126124	1840	127,964	1.44%	
Females	121145	1037	122,182	0.85%	
Military Connected Students	4933	40	4,973	0.80%	
Homeless	2527	20	2,547	0.79%	
Foster Care	2361	68	2,429	2.80%	

Table 1: Alternate Assessment Participation Rates for 2018-2019 for Reading/Language arts

Student Sub-Group	# Students Participating in Regular Assessment	# Students Participating in Alternate Assessment	Total # Students Assessed	Percentage Participating in Alternate Assessment
All Students	246,823	2876	249,699	1.15%
American Indian or Alaska Native	1984	23	2,007	1.15%
Asian	6922	83	7,005	1.18%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
African-American Students	17029	298	17,327	1.72%
White	156913	1737	158,650	1.09%
Multi-Racial	13303	178	13,481	1.32%
Hispanic	50186	550	50,736	1.08%
Free and Reduced Lunch	116146	1676	117,822	1.42%
Free Lunch only	92694	1392	94,086	1.48%
Reduced Lunch only	23452	284	23,736	1.20%
Self-Paid Lunch only	130677	1200	131,877	0.91%
Migrant	1425	14	1,439	0.97%
English Learner Students	22445	134	22,579	0.59%
English Learner with Disabilities	3372	132	3,504	3.77%
Non-English Learner Students	224,378	2,742	227,120	1.21%
Male	125867	1838	127,705	1.44%
Females	120956	1038	121,994	0.85%
Military Connected Students	4922	40	4,962	0.81%
Homeless	2504	20	2,524	0.79%
Foster Care	2335	69	2,404	2.87%

#### Table 2: Alternate Assessment Participation Rates for 2018-2019 for mathematics

Student Sub-Group	# Students Participating in Regular Assessment	# Students Participating in Alternate Assessment	Total # Students Assessed	Percentage Participating in Alternate Assessment
All Students	103,324	1118	104,442	1.07%
American Indian or Alaska Native				
Asian	2907	42	2,949	1.42%
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander				
African-American Students	7078	118	7,196	1.64%
White	66294	665	66,959	0.99%
Multi-Racial	5498	68	5,566	1.22%
Hispanic	20499	221	20,720	1.07%
Free and Reduced Lunch	45933	613	46,546	1.32%
Free Lunch only	36326	512	36,838	1.39%
Reduced Lunch only	9607	101	9,708	1.04%
Self-Paid Lunch only	57391	505	57,896	0.87%
Migrant				
English Learner Students	8599	41	8,640	0.47%
English Learner with Disabilities	1244	41	1,285	3.19%
Non-English Learner Students	94,725	1,077	95,802	1.12%
Male	52536	697	53,233	1.31%
Females	50788	421	51,209	0.82%
Military Connected Students	1865	14	1,879	0.75%
Homeless				
Foster Care	902	28	930	3.01%

## Table 3: Alternate Assessment Participation Rates for 2018-2019 for science

Table 4: Participation Rate of All Students and Students with Disabilities (Grades 3-8 and High School) 2018-2019

Content area	Students tested	Students required to test	Percent achievement measured for all students	Students with disabilities tested	Students with disabilities required to test	Percent achievement measured for students with disabilities
Reading	250146	252227	99.17%	35159	35924	97.98%
Math	249699	251917	99.12%	35103	35883	97.83%
Science	104442	105848	98.67%	13635	14074	96.88%

		Percentage of Target Group Participating	Percent of comparison group participating in	
Target group	Comparison Group	in Alternate Assessment	alternate assessment	Risk Ratio
American Indian or Alaska Natives	All other races	1.14%	1.16%	1.0174
Asians	All other races	1.18%	1.16%	0.9827
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islanders	All other races	1.41%	1.16%	0.8257
African-American Students	All other races	1.71%	1.12%	0.6568
Whites	All other races	1.09%	1.26%	1.1543
Multi-Racial	All other races	1.32%	1.15%	0.8774
Hispanics	All other races	1.08%	1.18%	1.0899
Free and Reduced Lunch	All self-paid lunch	1.42%	0.91%	0.6424
Migrants	All non - migrants	0.97%	1.16%	1.1987
English Learner Students	All non-English Learners	0.58%	1.11%	1.9359
Non-English Learner Students	All English Learners	1.11%	0.58%	0.5166
Males	All females	1.44%	0.85%	0.5903
Females	All males	0.85%	1.44%	1.6942
Military Connected Students	All non- military connected students	0.80%	1.17%	1.4555
Homeless	All non- homeless	0.79%	1.17%	1.4866
Foster Care	All non- foster care	2.80%	1.15%	0.4097

# Table 5: Alternate Assessment Risk Ratio for 2018-2019 for Reading/Language arts

Target group	Comparison Group	Percentage of Target Group Participating in Alternate Assessment	Percent of comparison group participating in alternate assessment	Risk Ratio

Table 6: Alternate Assessment Risk Ratio for 2018-2019 for mathematics

Target group	Comparison Group	Participating in Alternate Assessment	participating in alternate assessment	Risk Ratio
American Indian or Alaska Native	All other races	1.15%	1.17%	1.0168
Asian	All other races	1.18%	1.16%	0.9826
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	All other races	1.42%	1.16%	0.8203
African-American Students	All other races	1.72%	1.12%	0.6523
White	All other races	1.09%	1.27%	1.1571
Multi-Racial	All other races	1.32%	1.16%	0.8750
Hispanic	All other races	1.08%	1.18%	1.0912
Free and Reduced Lunch	All self-paid lunch	1.42%	0.91%	0.6397
Migrant	All non - migrants	0.97%	1.17%	1.1988
English Learner Students	All non-English Learners	0.59%	1.21%	2.0343
Non-English Learner Students	All English Learners	1.21%	0.59%	0.4916
Male	All females	1.44%	0.85%	0.5912
Females	All males	0.85%	1.44%	1.6915
Military Connected Students	All non-military connected students	0.81%	1.17%	1.4543
Homeless	All non- homeless	0.79%	1.17%	1.4752
Foster Care	All non- foster care	2.87%	1.15%	0.4000

Target group	Comparison Group	Percentage of Target Group Participating in Alternate Assessment	Percent of comparison group participating in alternate assessment	Risk Ratio
American Indian or Alaska Native	All other races	0.34%	1.09%	3.1925
Asian	All other races	1.42%	1.07%	0.7524
Native Hawaiian or Pacific Islander	All other races	0.58%	1.08%	1.8625
African-American Students	All other races	1.64%	1.04%	0.6336
White	All other races	0.99%	1.22%	1.2318
Multi-Racial	All other races	1.22%	1.07%	0.8786
Hispanic	All other races	1.07%	1.08%	1.0154
Free and Reduced Lunch	All self-paid lunch	1.32%	0.87%	0.6623
Migrant	All non - migrants	0.87%	1.08%	1.2456
English Learner Students	All non-English Learners	0.47%	1.12%	2.3690
Non-English Learner Students	All English Learners	1.12%	0.47%	0.4221
Male	All females	1.31%	0.82%	0.6279
Females	All males	0.82%	1.31%	1.5926
Military Connected Students	All non-military connected students	0.75%	1.09%	1.4604
Homeless	All non- homeless	0.55%	1.09%	1.9734
Foster Care	All non- foster care	3.01%	1.06%	0.3535